

CONSERVATION COMMISSION

AGENDA

Monday, May 15, 2023

4:30 PM

Room 22, Recreation Center

Commission Members

Alexander Von Plinsky, IV, Chair Councilor Andrew Madison, Vice Chair Art Walker Councilor Robert Williams, Ex-Officio Eloise Clark Steven Bill Kenneth Bergman Deborah LeBlanc, Alternate Thomas P. Haynes, Alternate John Therriault, Alternate Brian Reilly, Alternate Lee Stanish, Alternate

<u>SITE VISIT</u>: There will be a site visit of 19 Whitcomb's Mill Road at 3:30 pm (TMP # 237-018-000). Commission members should meet at the Recreation Center at 3:15 pm to carpool to the site.

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Approval of Meeting Minutes April 17, 2023
- 3. Planning Board Referral Surface Water Protection Conditional Use Permit Application SWP-CUP-02-23 – 19 Whitcomb's Mill Road, 9-lot CRD Subdivision
- 4. Report-outs
 - 1) Greater Goose Pond Forest Stewardship Subcommittee
 - 2) Outreach
 - 3) Invasive Species
 - 4) Land Conservation
- 5. Discussion Items:
 - a) Keene Meadow Solar Station project update
 - b) Potential Land Purchase Update (Rt 9/Washing St. Ext. properties)
 - c) Airport proposed wildlife control fence update
 - d) Conservation Commission speaking events
 - e) 2023 New Hampshire Drinking Water Festival
 - f) Wantastiquet-Monadnock Trail Coalition request for Keene Conservation Commission member representative
 - g) Educational resources for invasive species removal
- 6. Correspondence: ARLAC letter regarding the Ashuelot River Monitoring Program dated April 24, 2023
- 7. New or Other Business
- 8. Adjourn Next meeting date: Monday, June 19, 2023

2	New Hampshire					
3						
4						
5	5 CONSERVATION COMMISSION					
6	MEET	TING M	<u>INUTES</u>			
7						
	Monday, April 17, 2023	4:30	PM	Room 22,		
				Recreation Center		
	<u>Members Present:</u>		Staff Present:			
	Alexander Von Plinsky, IV, Chair		Marı Brunner, Seni	or Planner		
	Councilor Robert Williams (Arrived at 4:4	+/ PM)	Amanda Palmeira,	Assistant City Attorney		
	Eloise Claik Ken Bergman					
	Thomas Havnes Alternate (via Zoom)					
	Lee Stanish, Alternate (Arrived at 4:48 PM	A)				
	Brian Reilly, Alternate	,				
	Steven Bill, Alternate					
	John Therriault, Alternate					
	Deborah LeBlanc, Alternate (Voting)					
	Members Not Present:					
	Art Walker					
	Alt walker					
8						
9	1) Call to Order					
10	-)					
11	Chair Von Plinsky called the meeting to ord	der at 4:3	31 PM and thanked V	Vice Chair Madison for		
12	leading the meeting last month. Roll call en	sued. M	r. Haynes was partic	ipating remotely, and he		
13	was alone at his location.		5 1			
14						
15	2) Approval of Meeting Minutes – Mar	rch 20, 2	2023			
16						
17	Revisions: Line 368–369, change "April 30")" to "Ma	arch 30." There was	Commission consensus		
18	to not change the line marked "information	garbled.	"There was also con	nsensus to not change		
19	line 89 that stated, "when construction begin	ns" beca	use the next line stat	ed, "after all permitting		
20	is complete."					
21						
22	A motion by Mr. Bergman to adopt the Mar	rch 20, 2	023 meeting minutes	s as amended was duly		
23	seconded by Mr. Bill and the motion carried	d unanin	nously.			
24						
25	Mr. Bergman noted that the handouts provid	ded by the	he presenter at the la	st meeting were not		
26	available to him while he participated remot	tely. He	asked how to get that	at information. Ms.		
				Page 2 of 72		

City of Keene

1

27 Brunner said she could provide a hard copy of those handouts from the last meeting. In general,

she said could upload such handouts to the Commission's Google Drive. The Chair and Mr.

29 Bergman agreed that would be helpful.

30 31

3) <u>Report-Outs</u>

- A) Greater Goose Pond Forest Stewardship Subcommittee
- 32 33

34 Mr. Haynes said there was not much to report as the Subcommittee had an upcoming meeting on April 21. He noted that the Subcommittee had started installing new signs around the pond. He 35 said that members of the Subcommittee met with the Director of Parks, Recreation, and 36 Facilities—Andy Bohannon—to talk about the contractor's application for maintenance work 37 around Goose Pond. Mr. Bill noted that the Subcommittee approved the contract to move 38 39 through the City process, and added that there was only the one applicant, so it should be 40 simpler. He said the Subcommittee was also working to complete maps to post and be available to the public. The group would continue discussing how to get volunteers involved for trail 41 42 maintenance and other work needed.

43

Mr. Therriault asked if the Subcommittee had considered contacting Boy Scout troops for
volunteers. Mr. Bill replied that the Subcommittee had been led to believe that the Boy Scouts
were in various stages of being inactive right now. Mr. Therriault said that a lot of the upper
steps in Boy Scouts require community service projects and trail maintenance would be good.

- B) Ou
- 49 50

48

B) Outreach

Ms. Clark reported that she was prepared for the May 10 children's NH Drinking Water Festival.
She had prepared a lot of educational materials and would gather the other necessary materials.
Mr. Haynes would be helping Ms. Clark during the event. Groups of children—up to 15 at a
time—would cycle through the station for a short lesson and then a hands-on portion in 15minute intervals from 9:00 AM–3:00 PM. The focus would be on what Ms. Clark calls "muck
monsters," and specifically aquatic insects.

57 58

59

60 61

62

63

64 65

66

C) Invasive Species

- Councilor Williams was not present yet, but Chair Von Plinsky noted that the Councilor had sent an email with a rough schedule of events for this year. The next event would be Monday, May 8 from 6:30 PM–8:00 PM at the Stone Arch Bridge. The Commission assumed it was the bridge off Court Street because there was a rained-out event there last year. Ms. Clark noted how many invasives are present at that location. Chair Von Plinsky would get more information from Councilor Williams so that Ms. Brunner could publicize the event on the Community Development Department social media. The Chair said there was also an event planned for June
- 67 19 from 6:30 PM–8:00 PM at the Ellis-Harrison Park.
- 68
- 69

70 71

73

D) Land Conservation

72 Chair Von Plinsky reported that the group had not met in the last month.

74 4) Potential Land Purchase: Route 9/Washington St. Ext. (TMP#s 229-006-000 & 218-042-000)

76

Chair Von Plinsky discussed this potential land acquisition. He recalled that last year, Hull
Forest Products outbid the City for two parcels off Beaver Brook and harvested the timber from
the parcels. Now, Hull Forest Products wanted to sell the land and asked if the City was
interested. Chair Von Plinsky said he was personally interested in the City seeking to acquire
these parcels, but he wanted to hear from the Commission.

82

Mr. Bergman asked if both parcels were along the Washington Street extension or whether both
parcels were on either side of RT-9. Chair Von Plinsky said there was a steep parcel along Old
Concord Road and another parcel on the other side of RT-9. Mr. Bergman asked if the timber

harvest was completed, and the Chair replied in the affirmative. Chair Von Plinsky said the

87 owner, Sam Hull, seemed interested in selling to the City. Mr. Bergman wondered whether

anyone had a chance to look at the parcel north of RT-9. No one had seen it since the harvest.

89

90 Mr. Therriault thought the parcel south of RT-9 was important because Beaver Brook runs

91 through it and Beaver Brook Falls is near it. Chair Von Plinsky said the Brook does not run

92 through that parcel but is off to the side of it. Mr. Therriault asked, if that southern portion was

93 City land, whether it would provide access to the Brook. The Chair said this acquisition would

not afford access to the Brook but said owning that southern parcel would impact the Brook in

95 terms of the wholistic feeling of that space. Mr. Therriault recalled that the northern parcel was

96 important because of its proximity to Goose Pond. Chair Von Plinsky agreed, noting that it
97 provides a pretty sizeable buffer for Drummer Hill and Goose Pond from RT-9, which was the

97 provides a pretty sizeable buffer for Drummer Hill and Goose Po98 argument for acquiring the land the first time.

99

100 Councilor Williams arrived.

101

Ms. Brunner said that the northern parcel is also valuable in that it abuts land the City owns
already at 0 Old Gilsum Road (TMP# 218-038-000). She displayed a map of the area that

aiready at 0 Old Olisulli Road (TMP# 218-058-000). She displayed a map of the area that

highlighted the different parcels. Mr. Bergman noted that the Monadnock Conservancy also hasan easement on land in that area. Ms. Clark agreed but said all of those parcels are not

- 106 contiguous.
- 107

108 Ms. Stanish arrived.

109

110 The Commission discussed how to make an appropriate motion or recommendation to the City

- 111 Council. Ms. Brunner said that if the Chair wrote a letter to the City Council with the
- recommendation, it could be seen by the Council sooner on April 20. Whereas if the

- 113 Commission just made a motion to be reflected in the meeting minutes, the matter would not be
- seen by the City Council until their May 4 meeting. Ms. Brunner recalled that this Commission
- has the authority to purchase land with prior approval from the City Council. She said the
- 116 Council supported pursuing this acquisition last year so she did not see a reason they would not 117 this time.
- 118
- 119 A motion by Mr. Bill was duly seconded by Mr. Therriault to allow the Conservation
- 120 Commission Chair, Sparky Von Plinsky, IV, to write a letter urging the City Council to grant the
- 121 Conservation Commission permission to acquire the two parcels from Hull Forest Products.
- 122 Discussion ensued.
- 123
- Mr. Haynes asked if the Land Use Change Tax Fund would be used to purchase the propertiesand Chair Von Plinsky said yes.
- 126

127 Mr. Bergman wondered whether it would be prudent to have someone look at the land to ensure

- that erosion was not exacerbated by the logging, for example, and to have a sense of how
- selective the logging was. He thought it would be in the long-term interest of the City to acquire the properties. No one on the Commission had visited the parcels since timber was harvested.
- the properties. No one on the Commission had visited the parcels since timber was harvested.Mr. Bill thought there could be a careful analysis of the parcels based on how much the City
- wanted to pay for them. Ms. Clark wondered if it was known what Hull Forest Products was
- asking for the parcels. Ms. Brunner said she asked and they replied, "Let us know what you're
- 134 offering." So, she reached out to the City Assessor who had not replied yet. She knew that Hull
- 135 Forest Products paid more than the assessed value for the parcels and she said now the assessed
- value was higher, but from the perspective of someone looking to log the parcels, it was worth a
- 137 lot less. Discussion ensued about what the assessed value was before the logging, but no one
- 138 knew. The City Assessor discouraged paying the same amount for the parcels that Hull Forest
- 139 Products did. Chair Von Plinsky recalled that it is the City who does the negotiating, not the
- 140 Commission. He still thought the Commission could use its expertise to be helpful in
- 141 determining the current value of the land. Mr. Reilly noted that there were steep slopes on the
- southern parcel, making it undevelopable, which he said should theoretically lower the property
- 143 value. Chair Von Plinsky agreed.
- 144

145 Mr. Bergman recalled that the representative of Hull Forest Products who visited the

- 146 Commission last time talked about putting a wind farm on a plot, but Mr. Bergman was unsure if
- it was one of these two parcels or an adjacent one. There was consensus among the Commission
- that it was mentioned for the northern parcel. Mr. Bergman wondered if that plan was
- abandoned. Chair Von Plinsky said that the recent emails were from Sam Hull, the company's
- 150 owner, and the Chair thought that the previous representative was just throwing out ideas. The
- 151 Chair did not think a wind farm was on the owner's radar.
- 152
- 153 Mr. Bill asked if there was any urgency, and Chair Von Plinsky did not think so.
- 154
- 155 The motion carried unanimously.

156	
157	5) <u>Discussion Items:</u>
158	A) Airport Proposed Wildlife Control Fence Update
159	
160	Mr. Bergman said he emailed the Airport Director, David Hickling, but had not received a reply.
161	Mr. Bergman said he would call or visit the Airport Director because budgeting or planning
162	could be occurring, and the Commission should remain informed.
163	
164	B) Conservation Commission Speaking Events
165	
166	Vice Chair Madison was not present, but the Chair said he saw in the minutes that something
167	was in the works with Mr. Therriault. Mr. Therriault said the Vice Chair spoke with him about an
168	event on pollinator protection in June. Mr. Therriault agreed to be the speaker, but he did not
169	think a venue had been determined yet.
170	
171	C) 2023 NH Drinking Water Festival
172	
173	Discussed earlier in the meeting under "Outreach."
174	
175	D) Wantastiquet-Monadnock Trail Coalition – Request for Keene Conservation
176	Commission Member Representative
177	
178	Chair Von Plinsky recalled having a meeting with the Chair of the Chesterfield Conservation
179	Commission, Lynne Borofsky, who invited the Keene Conservation Commission to send a
180	representative to the next Wantastiquet-Monadnock Trail Coalition meeting. The Chair recalled
181	that the Wantastiquet-Monadnock Trail extends essentially from the VT border to Mount
182	Monadnock through Keene. He said the Coalition meets once or twice annually and they invited
183	a Commissioner to attend on Tuesday, April 25 at 4:30 PM at the new Antioch University New
184	England location in the plaza next to Ashuelot River Park where the Bank and Elm City Bagels
185	used to be. Ms. LeBlanc agreed to attend. The Chair thanked her for offering. The project
186	website includes more information about the Coalition's efforts, such as the goal to have
187	compostable toilets along the trail. The Coalition wants this Commission's voice to be present in
188	these efforts. Mr. Bill asked where the Wantastiquet-Monadnock Trail goes through Keene, and
189	Ms. Clark replied that the trail follows Rail Trail.
190	
191	E) Educational Resources for Invasive Species Removal
192	
193	Chair Von Plinsky recalled that the Commission discussed ways to help residents feel that they
194	can tackle invasive species. He said that Ms. Brunner found a NH guide to upland invasive
195	species that could be a handout to property owners who want more information. Councilor
196	Williams said there is a lot of good information available from the University of NH Cooperative

Plinsky added that there are also digital versions of handouts like this one that could be shared 199 200 with the public. The Chair said he talked with Ms. Brunner about using the Conservation 201 Commission's website to share more information like this or other topics. Ms. Clark asked if the Commission had its own dedicated website now and noted that it used to be under the 202 Community Development Department's webpage. Ms. Brunner said it is still under the 203 Community Development Department but that the Commission has its own webpage and Ms. 204 Brunner can also share information like this on the Community Development Department's 205 social media. Chair Von Plinsky said this was another way to think about how the Commission 206 could interact with the public moving forward. 207 208 Councilor Williams returned to the invasive species event schedule as he missed the opportunity 209 earlier in the meeting. He suggested having more events after these Commission meetings as a 210 211 great way to get more people to attend. Mr. Bergman asked which Stone Arch Bridge would be visited for the May event. Councilor Williams confirmed it would be the northern bridge off 212

- Court Street. The Councilor said that location had a lot of buckthorn and Japanese barberry. He 213 noted that weekends had not been successful for these events, so he mostly scheduled events on 214
- Monday evenings before dark. He moved the May event up one week because there would be a 215 meeting on the downtown infrastructure project on May 15. He said some events later in the 216 season might be at an earlier hour so the work could continue into October. Chair Von Plinsky 217 218 asked if the June 19 date would be a City holiday for Juneteenth. Ms. Brunner said the City does not close for Juneteenth. Councilor Williams said the intention was to have the June event after 219 the Conservation Commission meeting, which usually does not last two hours. Ms. Clark pointed 220
- out that it should be "Woodland" Cemetery, which is often mistakenly written as "Woodlawn." 221
- 222 223
- 224

F) **Keene Meadow Solar Installation**

Chair Von Plinsky reported that he had a conversation with City Attorney, Tom Mullins, who 225 discussed something very important the Commission needs to keep in mind. The Chair said he 226 would keep the conversation at this meeting focused solely on process and would not get into the 227 details of this particular solar installation. The City Attorney advised that the Conservation 228 Commission is a quasi-regulatory body, which must avoid prejudging any issue in any way 229 because the Commission will have input later in these processes for things like NH Wetland 230 Permits. Thus, it is not ideal for the Commission to be on record as for or against something in 231 advance of making such recommendations to the State of NH, as legal issues often come down to 232 233 optics. He said that going forward, this could remain on the agenda so the Commission stays upto-date, like it does for the airport fence. Still, Chair Von Plinsky urged the Commission to be 234 235 careful regardless of personal feelings about the installation and to remember the Commission's 236 quasi-regulatory impact on this whole process.

237

238 Ms. Brunner explained the process for, and the Commission's role in, a project like this one.

- 239 Under NH State Statute, Conservation Commissions have a role in reviewing NH Department of
- 240 Environmental Services (DES) Wetland Permit applications. So, anytime someone in the City of
- Keene seeks to impact a wetland, the Wetland Permit would be referred to the Conservation 241

Commission. The Commission has 14 days from the time the permit is received and signed bythe City Clerk to make its recommendation to NH DES in a motion. While the Commission does

- not make the final decision on these permits, it could opt to hold a public hearing on any of these
- permits, though that has typically not been the course of action. Generally, the Commission
- 246 makes its recommendations in a letter to NH DES.
- 247

248 Ms. Brunner continued explaining the Conservation Commission's role specific to the City of Keene, which chose to go above and beyond the NH regulations for surface waters. The City has 249 a Surface Water Protection Overlay that is 75' in the Rural, Agricultural, and Conservation 250 Districts, and 30' everywhere else in the City. Certain activities within that overlay require a 251 Conditional Use Permit (CUP) from the Planning Board (PB), which refers CUP applications to 252 the Conservation Commission for input on water resources. Ms. Brunner recalled from the last 253 254 meeting that this proposed solar development would be approximately 240 acres and therefore goes beyond the 20 acres allowed in City Zoning. The applicant's first step would be to apply for 255 a variance from the Zoning Board of Adjustment (ZBA). This variance is needed for property 256 257 owners wanting to do something not allowed within the Zoning regulations. Ms. Brunner explained that there are 5 specific criteria set by the State of NH that applicants must meet to 258 receive a variance.

259 260

261 If a variance was received from the ZBA, one of the applicant's next steps would be to receive

262 permission to build along a Class VI road—Old Gilsum Road. In NH, there is a unique category

of Class VI roadways, which are still public rights-of-way, but are not maintained by the City.

- The State of NH says that development along Class VI roads should be discouraged. So, to
- 265 receive a Building Permit for this solar development, the applicant would need permission from
- the legislative body—the City Council—for life/safety reasons because emergency services
- 267 might not be able to access a development on a Class VI road.
- 268

269 Ultimately, Ms. Brunner said that if the applicant receives authorization for the issuance of a building permit from the City Council, then the applicant would proceed through a process with 270 the Planning Board (PB). First, they would need site plan approval, which is required for any 271 commercial or multifamily development, or developments that meet a certain threshold. This site 272 plan review would be to ensure the project meets all 14 of the City's development standards 273 274 listed in Article 20 of the Land Development Code dealing with: drainage, runoff, sedimentation and erosion control, traffic generation, access, landscaping, screening, and lighting, etc. In 275 276 addition, because this project could impact wetlands or the surface water buffer, the applicant 277 would also need a CUP for surface water protection, for which the Conservation Commission 278 would make a recommendation to the PB. Additionally, if the applicant planned to impact more 279 than 20,000 square feet of precautionary slopes (between 15–25% grade), they would also need a hillside protection CUP from the PB. Finally, this applicant would need a solar CUP from the 280 PB. All of the site plan review and CUPs could be concurrent before the PB. The PB would not 281 282 be able to act on a surface water CUP until the Conservation Commission reviews it and makes a 283 recommendation to them.

284

If the applicant received all of these approvals that Ms. Brunner listed, their next step would be to get a Building Permit. Once construction commences and the Building Permit is issued, there would be regular site visits from City Staff to ensure the construction is in compliance with all of the approvals. At the beginning of the project, Staff would look at installation of sedimentation and erosion control measures. Toward the end of the project, Staff would visit to ensure the site matches was what on the plans. Due to the Building Permit, there would also be intermediary site

- visits to ensure the applicant meets all of the necessary life/safety codes and building/ fire/
- electrical codes.
- 293

Ms. Clark asked where the Alteration of Terrain Permit would fit in. Ms. Brunner said that
because more than 5 acres would be disturbed, the applicant would need an Alteration of Terrain
Permit from the State of NH. The Planning Board usually requires copies of these permits for
any application and the Community Development Department keeps those copies on file.

298

299 Mr. Bergman recalled that the March 2023 meeting's minutes stated that because the proposed solar project is over 20 acres, the developer would apply for a variance at the ZBA's April 3 300 meeting. He asked if that meeting occurred. Ms. Brunner reported that the project was initially 301 on the ZBA's schedule but due to an error in the public notice, the hearing was postponed to 302 May in accordance with State law. Mr. Bergman recalled that the amphibian crossings in Keene 303 were commencing for the season. He also recalled the project's wetland biologist stating last 304 month that they would be monitoring vernal pools this spring. Mr. Bergman wondered whether 305 the City regulates vernal pools differently than the NH laws. Ms. Brunner replied that City 306 includes vernal pools, intermittent streams, and basically everything else in the Surface Water 307 Protection Ordinance. Ms. Brunner said the City requires staying at least 75' away from vernal 308 pools for certain activities and many activities within that 75' would require a CUP. Mr. 309 Bergman noted that some parts of New England, like MA, have good vernal pool protections. He 310 asked if Keene has stringent rules in comparison. For vernal pools, Ms. Brunner said yes. The 311 City would require a plan stamped by a wetland scientist licensed in the State of NH that shows 312 the delineations of all vernal pools, wetlands, and the buffers around them. City Staff review 313 those plans to ensure nothing is happening within those buffers or that they are getting the CUP 314 if needed. Mr. Bergman wondered if the applicant needed to disclose those monitoring results-315 like for vernal pool monitoring that could be ongoing this spring. Ms. Brunner said the City does 316 not typically require that as a part of the surface water CUP application, but it is something the 317

- 318 PB could ask for.
- 319

Mr. Haynes asked whether the Greater Goose Pond Forest Stewardship Subcommittee could
have a voice in a process like this. Ms. Brunner replied that the Subcommittee is a body of the
Conservation Commission and would not get referrals from the PB or NH DES. However,
Subcommittee members could attend Conservation Commission meetings and participate when

- these applications are reviewed. Mr. Haynes noted how the Subcommittee has a different focus
- regarding recreation and overall use of land as stewards, so he wondered whether the
- 326 Subcommittee could make other recommendations through the Commission. Ms. Brunner said
- those points could be raised in discussion but the Conservation Commission's authority in these

- referrals is specifically regarding protection of water bodies. The Subcommittee could discuss
- impacts to recreation trails with the applicant but that is not what the Commission would be
- asked to comment on.
- 331

332 Chair Von Plinsky asked if there would be an avenue in this process for something like 333 recreation to be a focus more officially, rather than a member of the public just presenting an issue with the trails to the Commission. Ms. Brunner said there is no built-in referral for impacts 334 to recreation areas, but any citizen of Keene or abutter could come to any public hearing during 335 this overall process to ask questions or raise concerns. She said that when the development team 336 was present in March, they seemed open to discussing those issues. They also expressed a 337 willingness to mitigate recreation impacts when the Director of Parks, Recreation, and Facilities-338 -Andy Bohannon-asked. 339

340

341 Mr. Bill said that this Commission does not have input until another group requests its input. Ms.

342 Brunner said that was correct. She said the matter could certainly remain on the agenda to get

updates. Still, until this project is before the Commission for a referral, this body and individual

members of this body should refrain from forming/sharing opinions until the applicants or othersare able to present to the Commission. Mr. Bill said that the vernal pools on this property are

shaded currently and would be no longer if all the trees are cut, which would present a clear

347 impact. He asked if the Commission cannot talk about that until the next step in the process

happens. Chair Von Plinsky replied that he would like to invite the developer to another meeting

for a design-focused conversation, in which the Commission does not form opinions but provides

input before the official referrals. Ms. Brunner thought that would be fine as long as it is an

informational conversation, with the Commission asking questions and sharing general input.

- 352 Ms. Brunner would reach out to the developer to gauge their interest in that opportunity.
- 353

Ms. LeBlanc asked when the public would be notified to come and hear about this project. Ms. Brunner said that the ZBA and PB public hearings would have notice in the newspaper and mailed to surrounding abutters. For this Commission, it would only be listed on the agenda unless there was an official public hearing, which Ms. Brunner imagined would also include a notice in the newspaper. During a normal meeting like this one, it is up to the Chair to allow public comment, whereas a formal public hearing requires allowing public comment.

360

361 On a cautionary note, Mr. Bergman said that the history of the development of Monadnock

362 Marketplace would show unparalleled examples of the risks of making public statements or

judgements prior to hearings and testimony. He said it was a profound issue with a lot of moneyat stake. Chair Von Plinsky agreed that was important to keep in mind.

365

There was consensus to invite the developer back for a discussion on issues such as vernal poolsand erosion while the project is still in the design phase. Chair Von Plinsky reiterated that it

would be important to keep the discussion focused on topics within the Commission's purview.

Mr. Reilly asked whether the ZBA has any discretion or whether projects are preempted if theydo not meet the 5 criteria outlined by the State of NH. Ms. Brunner replied that there is some

- judgement involved in the ZBA determining whether it meets those 5 criteria. The ZBA is
- supposed to make their decisions based on whether applications meet those criteria and not on
- whether a lot of people are for or against it. She said the hardest criterion to meet is the applicant
- proving a hardship. She added that the ZBA must make a finding—or vote—on each criterion,
- and an applicant must meet all 5 to get the variance.
- 377

Mr. Haynes said he liked the idea of inviting the developer back. He said it might be prudent for 378 the Commission to discuss the key issues among themselves, so everyone is on the same page 379 before the developer visits. The Chair said that was a good idea. Chair Von Plinsky suggested 380 that the Commission have a careful discussion in May and invite the developers back in early 381 382 summer. All agreed that would help to make sure that the Commission stays on topic when talking to the developer. Mr. Bergman asked whether there were semantics to employ in these 383 discussions to ensure the Commission is careful and remains impartial/neutral. Mr. Reilly 384 thought it was just a matter of asking questions about the developer's direction. Chair Von 385

- Plinsky said that he thought they could develop some questions together at the next meeting.
- 387
- 388 389

6) <u>Discussion on Agricultural Land Conservation and Food Security – Rowland</u> <u>Russell</u>

390

Chair Von Plinsky welcomed Rowland Russell to speak about agricultural land conservation and
food security. Mr. Russell's career is in environmental studies, and he holds degrees from
Antioch University Seattle and New England. Mr. Russell is also a member of the Friends of
Public Art, he was on the Walldogs Executive Committee, is on the Board of the Cheshire
County Historical Society, and serves on the City's Bicycle and Pedestrian Path Advisory
Committee. He also has a role coordinating volunteer activities at the Monadnock View
Cemetery community garden, where there are 4 dedicated pollinator plots.

398

Mr. Russell recalled that in 2007, the Cities for Climate Protection wrote the Climate Adaptation 399 Plan, which was the first mention of food security in the City that he saw. He said the Climate 400 Adaptation Plan focused on climate change's effect on conservation of agricultural land, as well 401 as meeting the needs of people who need food the most. He said that the Plan had re-emerged 402 over the years in various different committees' functions. He imagined the Plan would have been 403 404 in the hands of the Agricultural Commission if it had not been disbanded; Mr. Russell recalled 405 that the Conservation Commission was supposed to absorb some of those duties. He said that in 406 speaking with Mayor Hansel and Ms. Brunner, they developed an idea for the Energy and 407 Climate Committee (ECC), which sort of took over for Cities for Climate Protection. Mr. Russell said that the plan was for the ECC to sponsor a work group focusing on food security. He said 408 there were still some processes in the works for the ECC to assign members to its various work 409 410 groups. He said there are many players interested in having a role in this. To that end, Mr. Russell suggested a series of three focus groups on: 1) residential needs (including expansion of 411 community gardens called for in the 2007 Plan and incentives for residents to change lawns to 412

vegetable or pollinator gardens), 2) organizational needs (Community Kitchen, Hundred Nights, 413 414 Southwest Community Services, etc., that need the food), and 3) farms (including retention of 415 existing farmland, renewal of former farmland, and identification of potential farmland; also, climate change adaptation). For example, the Community Kitchen struggled getting the 416 vegetable donations it needed last year; there are 6 dedicated plots at the Community Garden. 417 418 Each of these 3 proposed work groups would meet 3 times throughout the year, in addition to 3 meetings with all work groups together to deal with overall issues, like how to increase food 419 production in the region-the Climate Adaptation Plan called for the region to produce 20% of 420 its own food and the region is not there yet. Mr. Russell invited members of the Conservation 421 Commission interested in food security and farming to attend these work group meetings and 422 weigh-in. He imagined a lot of research and analysis coming out of these focus groups that 423 would go to the ECC, which would formulate recommendations to the City. Ultimately, he 424 425 hoped those recommendations would be considered as a part of the next master plan. 426 427 Chair Von Plinsky asked when this would ideally start over the course of the next year. Mr. Russell replied that it would probably commence this summer and asked Ms. Brunner the 428 timeline to hear back from the ECC. Ms. Brunner replied that at the ECC retreat the previous 429 week, the ECC determined workgroups but had yet to determine who would serve on each. Still, 430 she said the ECC supported participating. Mr. Russell noted that he already had 2 volunteers for 431 432 the overarching group for all 12 meetings. He wanted a sense from the ECC before scheduling focus groups. It made sense to him to start with agriculture in terms of the ECC's perspective on 433 how climate change is affecting farmers and how we all get our food. 434 435 7) **New or Other Business** 436 437 None was reported. 438 439 440 8) Adjournment – Next Meeting Date: May 15, 2023 441 There being no further business, Chair Von Plinsky adjourned the meeting at 5:44 PM. 442 443 Respectfully submitted by, 444 Katryna Kibler, Minute Taker 445 April 18, 2023 446 447 448 Reviewed and edited by, 449 Mari Brunner, Senior Planner



City of Keene, NH Conservation Residential Development (CRD) Subdivision Application

Conservation Residential Development (CRD) subdivisions are those consisting of 3 or more proposed lots and the layout and construction of a new road, where the existing parcel to be subdivided is located in either the Rural, Low Density, or Low Density-1 zoning districts, and meets the minimum lot size requirements specified in Article 19 of the Lond Development Code (LDC).

If you have questions about how to complete this form, please call: (603) 352-5440 or email: communitydevelopment@keenenh.gov

SECTION 1: PROJE	CT INFORMATION
CRD Whitcomb's Mill Estates	NUMBER OF LOTS PROPOSED:
PROJECT ADDRESS(ES): 19 Whiteombs Mill Road	
SECTION 2: CONTA	CT INFORMATION
	activities of the second s
NAME/COMPANY:	NAME/COMPANY:
Sandra R Henry Trust	Same as Owner
MAILING ADDRESS:	MAILING ADDRESS:
PO Box 9 Keene NUT 03431-0009	
PHONE:	PHONE:
603-731-0555	6037316243
EMAIL:	EMAIL:
Schenry 26@ yahoo.com	
SIGNATURED Danden R Henry	Sonder R Deng
SANDRA R. HENRY	PRINTED NAME:
An	Color - KERCERINER
NAME/COMPANY:	TAX MAP PARCEL #(s):
James Phyppard Brickstone Land Use Consultants us Mailung address:	237 018 000 000 000
185 Winchester St Keene NHT 03431	water stand write allow hands when and water and when allow and allow and allow
PHONE:	TRACT SIZE: 12 42 CC DATE STAMP:
603-357-0116	12.7200 DEPEND
EMAIL:	ZONING DISTRICT
Jphippard@ne.rr.com	ID-1 ADD -
SIGNATURE: Same Phen	4PR 1 4 2023
PRINTED NAME:	PROJECT #:
James P Phippard	S-03-23

	CEATI	ON		LO ASTIC	ID BARC	DEOL	I DI DI A A CAL	
		1 1 1 1	 AN 24 24 1		1.10/11			
	<u> </u>				 			

A COMPLETE APPLICATION MUST INCLUDE THE ITEMS BELOW. BOTH DIGITAL & PHYSICAL COPIES OF APPLICATION MATERIALS MUST BE SUBMITTED USING THE METHODS BELOW.

- <u>Email</u>: communitydevelopment@keenenh.gov, with "Planning Board Application" in the subject line. (NOTE: Large files should be submitted using a flash drive or a file-sharing platform, such as Drop Box.)
- Mail / Hand Deliver: Community Development (4th Floor), Keene City Hall, 3 Washington St, Keene, NH 03431

The submittal requirements for CRD applications are outlined further in **Article 19** and **Article 25.10** of the Land Development Code.
<u>Please note that additional information may be requested during the review process.</u>

EXEMPTIONS: Exemptions may be requested from submitting materials that are not relevant to an application by checking the appropriate box below. You may request an exemption from submitting any of the items below, except the application fee, notice list, narrative, and mailing labels. The Community Development Director may grant an exemption, if it is determined that the scope of the project does not warrant the submittal.

WAIVERS: Waiver requests are submitted when an application will not comply with all applicable standards.

GENERAL SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

CERTIFIED NOTICE LIST (See **Attachment A** for more information.)

2 SETS OF MAILING LABELS (See Attachment A for more information.)

PROJECT NARRATIVE (See Section 1 of Attachment B for more information.)

FEES: Fill in the information below to calculate the total fee.

∎ \$200 base fee

🖬 \$100 fee per lot x <u>8</u> number of lots

🕱 \$62 legal ad fee

 \overline{x} $\frac{4.15}{5}$ current USPS certified mailing rate x $\frac{14}{10}$ number of abutters 66.50

= <u>//28,50</u> (TOTAL FEE)

NOTE: Please call the Community Development Department for the current certified mailing rate. Checks should be made payable to the *City of Keene*. Credit card payments are accepted in-person or by calling 603-352-5440.

WAIVERS (See Section 2 of Attachment B for additional information.)	☆WAIVER(S) REQUESTED □ NO WAIVER(S) REQUESTED		
WRITTEN DOCUMENTATION OUTLINING THE PROCESS FOR DETERMINING	EXEMPTION REQUESTED		
THE LAYOUT OF THE PROPOSED CONSERVATION RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT	R NO EXEMPTION REQUESTED		
SUBDIVISION. (See Attachment C for additional information.)			

PLAN SETS (See Attachment C for additional information.)	SUBMITTED	EXEMPTION REQUESTED
LOCATION MAP	X	
OVERVIEW PLAN	X	
EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN	X	
YIELD ANALYSIS		
PROPOSED CONDITIONS PLAN	×	
LANDSCAPING PLAN		X
TECHNICAL REPORTS (See Attachment C for additional information.)	SUBMITTED	EXEMPTION REQUESTED
DRAINAGE REPORT	X	
TRAFFIC ANALYSIS	X	
SOIL ANALYSIS	X	
OTHER REPORTS / ANALYSES	Page	14 of 72



Site Planning, Permitting and Development Consulting 185 Winchester Street, Keene, NH 03431 (603) 357-0116

PROJECT NARRATIVE

12- UNIT CRD WHITCOMB'S MILL ROAD KEENE, NH

April 14, 2023

SR Henry Trust is the owner of 12.42 acres of land on the west side of Whitcomb's Mill Road. The property is zoned LD-1 and is TMP 237-018-000. It has 762 feet frontage on Whitcomb's Mill Road and has access to city sewer via an easement to the existing city sewer across Whitcomb's Mill Road on the Langdon Place of Keene property. Private drinking water wells will be installed for each of the dwelling units. Overhead power and cable TV lines will be installed along the road with underground services extended into each house lot.

This property abuts the Cheshire Rail Trail along its south side. An active farm abuts the property to the west. Single family residential properties abut the property to the north, and to the east, and across Whitcomb's Mill Road is Langdon Place of Keene, a senior living facility.

A new private road (Brookfield Lane) will be constructed to provide access to four single family house lots and three duplex lots. A fourth duplex lot will be proposed along the frontage at Arch Street which will require a waiver for a driveway directly from Whitcomb's Mill Road, rather than the proposed new road. Each single family house lot will be greater than 16,000 sf in size. The duplex lots will be greater than 32,000 sf in size.

Approximately 6.87 acres of open space land will be permanently preserved as part of this proposal. The open space includes a forested wetland area of approximately 1.9 acres and land along White Brook. A continuous forested strip varying in width from 20 feet to over 100 feet will be preserved in its natural state except for one driveway to Whitcomb's Mill Road and a planned footpath connection to the Cheshire Rail Trail.

Land	REQUIRED	PROVIDED
Min. Tract Size	5 acres	12.42 acres
Min Tract Frontage	100 feet	762 feet
Min. Lot Area	16,000 sf	>16,000 feet
Min. Road Frontage	40 feet	>40 feet
Min. Lot Width at Building Line		
	75 feet	>75 feet
Min. Front Setback	15 feet	15 feet
Min. Rear Setback	20 feet	20 feet
Min. Side Setback	10 feet	10 feet
Max. Building Coverage		
	35%	N/A
Max. Impervious Coverage		
	40%	N/A
Density, LD-1 w/o city water	1 acre per lot @ 12.42	Up to 12 units on 8 lots
	acres	(4 duplex units and 4
		single family units)

CRD Dimensional Requirements for the LD-1 district without city water are summarized below:

Primary and Secondary Conservation Areas on the Tract

Primary conservation areas include White Brook which exists along the north side of this tract. There is also a forested wetland of 1.9 acres which is located primarily in the center of the lot. This area is fed by an intermittent stream coming from the south, under the Cheshire Rail Trail and discharging into the wetland area which ultimately discharges to White Brook.

Secondary conservation areas within the forested open space include a potential historic site along the brook which was believed to be the location of a sawmill constructed in 1785 by a man named Holbrook which was eventually owned by a Whitcomb. Thus, the name Whitcomb's Mill Road. The NH Natural Heritage Bureau has also identified a threatened plant species, the fringed gentia which was believed to exist on or near this site in a wetland area at one time. We found no evidence of the fringed gentia during a site inspection by a local botanist.

Open Space Land

The 6.87 acre open space land includes a wetland in the center of the property and the forested perimeter land surrounding the proposed house lots. The open space land will be owned by the Whitcomb's Mill Estates Homeowners Association. The open space land shall be used for passive recreation only including hiking, walking, fishing, snow shoeing, sledding, and other passive recreational uses. No motorized vehicles will be allowed except as necessary for maintenance. No tree cutting will be allowed except for dead or damaged trees which pose a safety hazard.

The applicant is proposing to construct a gravel footpath through a portion of the open space for a connection to the Cheshire Rail Trail at the southeast corner of the property, subject to approval of a license from the State of NH to allow the connection.

The applicant reserves the right to construct a fire pond within the existing wetland, subject to necessary permits and approvals. Each house lot has direct access to the open space land. A copy of the Open Space Covenants is attached.

Permitted Uses

Single family dwellings and two-family dwellings are permitted uses in the LD-1 district under Table 19-3 in the LDC.

Design Criteria

This proposal includes eight new building lots, four of which will be large enough to accommodate a duplex. Four lots are sized to accommodate one single family dwelling. Access to the proposed new building lots will be from the proposed new road with the exception of the access to Lot 8. Lot 8 is large enough to support a duplex and the access to this lot is proposed to be from Whitcomb's Mill Road. The final location for this driveway will be subject to approval from City staff at the time a driveway permit application is filed for Lot 8.

Waiver Criteria

A waiver is requested from section 19.3.5.A.3 to allow a shared driveway directly from Whitcomb's Mill Road to Lot 8.

- Specific circumstances relative to the subdivision, or conditions of the land in such subdivision, indicate that the waiver will properly carry out the spirit and intent of the regulations: It is not possible to bring a driveway from the new road to the building site on Lot 8 without crossing the wetland area, or without crossing Lot 7 in close proximity to the building site on Lot 7, or without cutting through the forested buffer between Lot 7 and Whitcomb's Mill Road. The least disruptive impact is to allow a driveway straight in from Whitcomb's Mill Road and onto Lot 8. In the event a duplex is proposed, this will be a shared driveway.
- 2. Granting the waiver will not increase the potential for creating adverse impacts to abutters, the community or the environment: This portion of Whitcomb's Mill Road is straight and has good line of sight in both directions. The driveway to the existing single family home across Whitcomb's Mill Road is located to the north and will not conflict with a proposed new driveway location to Lot 8. The addition of a residential driveway in this location will not result in excess traffic and will not create a safety hazard.
- 3. Consideration will also be given as to whether strict conformity with the regulations would pose an unnecessary hardship to the applicant. Denial of the waiver would result in the loss of two potential building sites for the applicant. The shortfall in income would force the applicant to significantly raise the cost of the remaining building sites which could jeopardize the feasibility of the entire project.

The proposed new road (Brookfield Lane) will remain a private road to be owned and maintained by the Whitcomb's Mill Estates HOA. The City of Keene will be granted an easement to pass and repass over the property to maintain and repair the public sewer lines into the site. No curbing, no streetlights and no sidewalks are proposed.

Subdivision Review Narrative

12 Unit CRD Whitcomb 's Mill Road Keene, NH

April 14, 2023

Site Development Standards

- **20.2 Drainage & Stormwater Management** Stormwater from the proposed new road will sheet drain to roadside swales and be collected in stormwater treatment areas before discharging to the existing wetland area in the center of the site. The stormwater collection area is sized to retain a 25 year design storm. There will be no increase in runoff leaving the site as a result of this proposal. See attached Hydrocad report from SVE Associates.
- **20.3 Sediment/Erosion Control** Sediment and erosion control will be provided using silt fencing during construction at the disturbed areas and use of a stone construction entrance during construction.
- 20.4 Snow Storage & Removal Snow will be stored around the perimeter of the paved roadway. Excess snow will be removed from the site after each snowstorm as needed.
- **20.5 Landscaping** No landscaping is proposed.
- **20.6 Screening** Screening of the CRD will be provided by the existing forested buffer along Whitcomb's Mill Road and around the perimeter of the proposed building lots.
- **20.7 Lighting** No lighting is proposed. This is a rural residential area and excess lighting is not desirable.
- 20.8 Sewer & Water City sewer exists on the Langdon Place of Keene property directly across the street from the site. The City of Keene holds an easement to allow the sewer to be extended to Whitcomb's Mill Road. The applicant will extend the sewer from the existing sewer manhole at Langdon Place of Keene to Whitcomb's Mill Road and then into the CRD site to provide sewer service to each building site. Domestic water will be provided by individual wells located on each building site.
- 20.9 Traffic & Access Management A traffic report for this project was prepared by Stephen G. Pernaw & Company, Inc. The report estimates that approximately 106 vehicle trips will be generated on an average weekday. It estimates that up to 8 vehicle trips will occur during the AM peak hour and

- up to 10 vehicle trips will occur during the PM peak hour. The report concludes that this additional traffic will not significantly affect the prevailing traffic operations along Whitcomb's Mill Road, or at the intersection at Rt. 9 and at the Arch Street intersection.
- 20.10 Filling & Excavation Minor filling and excavation will be necessary for the construction of the new road and the installation of the new sewer main. Trucks will haul materials from NH Rt. 9 to Whitcomb's Mill Road to the building site. Approximately 1200 CY of material will be hauled to or from the site.
- 20.11 Surface Waters & Wetlands White Brook passes along the north side of the site. No work is proposed within 250 feet of the brook. An existing wetland area of approximately 1.9 acres exists in the center of the site. An intermittent stream exists near the east side of the site and discharges stormwater through existing culverts under the rail trail and under the proposed new road leading into the site. No impacts to White Brook or the intermittent stream are proposed. A NHDES Wetlands permit will be required to extend an existing culvert under the new road. Sediment and erosion control measures will be installed prior to disturbance to any areas on the site.
- **20.12 Hazardous or Toxic Materials** The applicant has no knowledge of hazardous or toxic materials at this site.
- **20.13 Noise** No excessive noise will result from this proposal.
- **20.14 Architecture & Visual Appearance -** The proposed CRD is a land subdivision to create future building sites. This standard is not applicable.

MORGINSME	PAONET INFORMATION	Andrew Construction of the second second
enanscrappenente 19 Whitembs Mill	Road	
SECTION 21 C	ONTACT INFORMATION	
Sandra R. Horry Srust	Same as own	ner-
HO BOX 9 Keene NH 07131-000 9	Elight;	
602-131-0555	TRAN	
Deneny 26 @ yahoo com	RENALURE:	
SANDRA R HENRY	PRINTED NAME:	
standard and stand		
excompany rs Phypowed/Brickstone Land Use Growth mg Appenses	100000 100 00000	000 000
Winchester St Keene NHT 03431		ATE STAMP
3-357-0116	0.7000	
and one or com	ZOMMAG DISTRICT:	DEGENER
		MAY 01 2023
Januar Tr	PROJECT #	Ву
	5-03-233	

SECTION 3: APPLICATION SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

A COMPLETE APPLICATION MUST INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS. BOTH PHYSICAL & DIGITAL COPIES OF APPLICATION MATERIALS MUST BE SUBMITTED USING THE METHODS BELOW.

- Digitally: Email (communitydevelopment@keenenh.gov) or a file-sharing platform (such as Dropbox)
- Mail / Hand Deliver: Community Development (4th Floor), City Hall, 3 Washington St, Keene, NH 03431

The submittal requirements for Surface Water Protection Conditional Use Permit (CUP) applications are outlined further in **Article 11.6.3.B** and **Article 25.14** of the Land Development Code (LDC). You may request an exemption from providing any of the items below, except the application fee, notice list, narrative, and mailing labels. The Community Development Director may grant an exemption, if it is determined that the scope of the project does not warrant the submittal.

Note: Additional information may be required by the respective decision-making authority during the review process.

GENERAL SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

CERTIFIED NOTICE LIST (See **Attachment A** for more information.)

2 SETS OF MAILING LABELS (See Attachment A for more information.)

PROJECT NARRATIVE (See Section 2 of Attachment B for more information.

FEES: Fill in the information below to calculate the total fee.

\$100 base fee + \$62 legal ad fee + (4.75) current USPS certified mailing rate x _ 15 abutters) = 233.25 (Total Fee)

NOTE: Please call the Community Development Department for the current certified mailing rate. Checks should be made payable to the *City of Keene*. Credit card payments are accepted in-person or by calling 603-352-5440.

INFORMATION DEMONSTRATING THAT THE PROPOSED ENCROACHMENT WILL NOT CAUSE ADVERSE IMPACTS TO THE SURFACE WATER RESOURCE, OR DESIGN DETAILS THAT DEMONSTRATE THAT PROPOSED MITIGATION WILL PREVENT ADVERSE IMPACTS TO THE SURFACE WATER RESOURCE.

SUBMITTED
 EXEMPTION REQUESTED

Jame

WAIVERS (See Section 3 of Attachment B for additional information.)

WAIVER(S) REQUESTED
 NO WAIVER(S) REQUESTED

PLAN SETS (See Attachment C for additional information.)	SUBMITTED	EXEMPTION REQUESTED
LOCATION MAP OF PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS	×	
EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN	×	
PROPOSED CONDITIONS PLAN	×	
GRADING PLAN	×	
LANDSCAPING PLAN		×
LIGHTING PLAN		*
ELEVATIONS		×
TECHNICAL REPORTS (See Attachment C for additional information.)	SUBMITTED	EXEMPTION REQUESTED
DRAINAGE REPORT	×	
TRAFFIC ANALYSIS	×	
SOIL ANALYSIS	×	
HISTORIC EVALUATION		×
SCREENING ANALYSIS		×
ARCHITECTURAL & VISUAL APPEARANCE ANALYSIS		×
OTHER REPORTS / ANALYSES		×
POSTED NOTICE REQUIREMENT (See Section 1 of Attachment B for	additional information.)	

Conditional Use Permit Narrative

12 Unit CRD

Whitcomb's Mill Road

Keene NH

May 01, 2023



Conditional Use Permit Standards

11.6.2 A - This proposed use will avoid encroachment into the Surface Water

Protection Overlay District. The proposed subdivision is designed to avoid and preserve the existing jurisdictional wetlands while creating buildable areas for up to 12 dwelling units. The jurisdictional wetlands will become part of the permanent open space. It was necessary to include several areas of the wetland buffer in order to provide the necessary land areas to meet minimum lot sizes required by zoning. Most of the wetland buffer areas on building lots lie within setback areas and cannot be built on.

11.6.2 B - Encroachment into the buffer area has been minimized to the

maximum extent possible. The proposed subdivision layout is the minimum encroachment possible which allows the creation of building sites for up to 12 dwellings.

11.6.2 C – The nature, design, siting and scale of the proposed use and the characteristics of the site will avoid the potential for adverse impacts to the

surface water resource. The surface water resources on this site include White Brook along the north side of the site, a forested wetland in the center of the site, and an intermittent stream at the southeast side of the site. Forested open space land provides a buffer to protect White Brook from the developed area. The jurisdictional wetlands in the center of the site and the intermittent stream will become part of the permanent open space and protected from development. A small footpath is planned running parallel to the intermittent stream for access to the Cheshire Rail Trail. The footpath will meander through the existing trees and will be outside the wetland buffer.

11.6.2 D – The surface water buffer area shall be left in a natural state to the maximum extent possible. All of the proposed open space land is intended to be left in a natural state as much as possible. Open space covenants are included in the Home Owners Association (HOA) documents requiring this. A small area along the downhill side of the proposed stormwater basin will require removal of a few trees to complete the grading outside the basin.

11.6.2 E -

1. Size, character and quality of the surface water -

White Brook is a small perennial stream flowing from west to east along the northern side of the site. The stream joins Black Brook approximately one mile east of the site and becomes Ash Swamp Brook.

The subdivision site is a former gravel pit 12.42 acres in size. The site was stripped of vegetation in the 1950's and 1960's and the sands and gravels were removed. The center of the site was excavated down to groundwater and was left as a small sedimentation basin and served to protect White Brook from sedimentation. The site was never reclaimed and re-vegetated but was left to grow fallow. The jurisdictional wetland in the center of the site is approximately 1.9 acres in size and collects stormwater from land areas south of the site through an intermittent stream and a stone culvert under the Cheshire Rail Trail. The wetland area is forested with saplings, small trees and scrub shrubs.

2. Location and connectivity relative to other surface waters -

White Brook is a small perennial stream flowing from west to east along the northern side of the site. The stream joins Black Brook approximately one mile east of the site and becomes Ash Swamp Brook. The wetland area on the site is located immediately south of White Brook and discharges water to the stream. The wetland area receives stormwater from offsite to the south via the intermittent stream.

3. Ecological and hydrological functions of the surface water -

The primary function of the forested wetland is stormwater retention and removal of sediments and nutrients before discharging stormwater to White Brook. Secondary functions include wildlife habitat primarily for birds and small animals.

4. Topography, slopes, soils and vegetation within the resource -

Most of the site slopes gently downward to the north at slopes of 8% or less. There are very steep slopes along the south and west property lines left over from the gravel pit operation. The remaining soils on the site are remnants of the former gravel pit and are classified as 22C Colton gravelly sandy loam. The vegetation at the site is mostly small trees and scrub shrub which grew fallow after the gravel pit ceased operation.

5. Role of the buffer in mitigating soil erosion, sediment and nutrient transport, groundwater recharge, flood storage, and flow dispersion -

Most of the existing wetland buffer lacks adequate vegetation to effectively prevent soil erosion or to filter sediment and nutrients. Stormwater currently sheet drains through the buffer areas and into the existing wetland. The wetland buffers do not provide a significant source for groundwater recharge, flood storage or flow dispersion.

6. Wildlife habitat or travel corridor -

The forested wetland and the land areas next to White Brook do provide wildlife habitat and a travel corridor for animals following the stream. Birds and small animals frequent this area and will benefit from preservation of the wetland as permanent space.

7. Stormwater runoff and potential to impact the surface water

resource – Stormwater runoff from the proposed new road will be collected and directed to a stormwater basin constructed in an upland area near the cul-de-sac. The basin is sized for a 25 year design storm and designed to collect sediments within the basin. Water overflowing the basin will discharge to a vegetated slope and eventually to the forested wetland. A stormwater report prepared by SVE Associates is attached.

8. Sensitivity of surface water resource to changes in the buffer zone -

As the house lots are developed the buffer areas will be stabilized with loam and vegetation. This will improve the ability of the buffers in these locations to filter stormwater runoff and protect the wetlands.

WHITCOMB'S MILL ESTATES 19 Whitcombs Mill Road, KEENE, NEW HAMPSHIRE

OWNER & APPLICANT:

Sandra R. Henry Trust

P.O. BOX 9 KEENE, NH 03431

APPROVED BY THE APPLICANT:					
INSPECTION PERMISSION: UPON APPROVAL OF THIS SITE PLAN, THE OWNER GRANTS PERMISSION FOR THE MEMBERS OR AGENTS OF THE KEENE PLANNING BOARD TO INSPECT THIS SITE AS NECESSARY.					
APPROVED BY THE KEENE PLANNING BOARD					
ON					
CERTIFIED BY CHAIRMAN					



N - 1	NOTES
	*PLAN
	*SUPF
	TOPO
S-1	EXIST
C-1	SUBDI
C-2	PROP
C - 3	PROP
C-4	PROP
C - 5	PROP
C - 6	CONS

Project K2740 PREPARED BY

Civil Engineer:

SVE Associates

439 WEST RIVER ROAD BRATTLEBORO, VT 05302 PHONE (802) 257-0561

April 12, 2023

Development Consultant:

Brickstone Land Use Consultants

185 WINCHESTER ST. **KEENE**, NH 03431 PHONE (603) 357-0116

Land Surveyor:

Huntley Survey & Design, PLLC 659 WEST ROAD

TEMPLE, NH 03084

www.huntleysurvey.com PHONE (603) 924-1669

INDEX OF PLANS

S AND LEGEND N OF CRD SUBDIVISION (1" = 50')PLEMENTAL SOILS AND GRAPHY PLAN (1" = 50')ING CONDITIONS PLAN (1'' = 50')IVISION PLAN (1" = 50')POSED ROAD PLAN (1'' = 30')POSED SEWER PLAN (1" = 30')POSED SEWER PLAN (1'' = 30')POSED SEWER PROFILE (1'' = 30')STRUCTION DETAILS

*PLANS BY HUNTELY SURVEY & DESIGN, PLLC



4/13/23 iza Sargent

LIZA P. SARGEN R.C.E. NUMBER:

Page 26 of 72

GENERAL CONSTRUCTION NOTES:

- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CALL DIG-SAFE, AT 1-888-344-7233 AT LEAST 72 HOURS BEFORE THE START OF EXCAVATION
- THE CONTRACTOR IS EXPECTED TO BE AWARE OF AND COMPLY WITH ALL PERMITS AND PERMIT CONDITIONS. ALL TRENCHING, EXCAVATION, SHEETING, SHORING, ETC. SHALL COMPLY WITH THE MOST CURRENT OSHA REGULATIONS.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY SVE ASSOCIATES IF FIELD CONDITIONS VARY FROM THAT SHOWN ON THE PLAN(S). THE CONTRACTOR'S 4.
- WORK SHALL NOT VARY FROM THE PLAN(S) UNLESS SO AUTHORIZED BY SVE ASSOCIATES. 5
- ALL WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SITE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS PROVIDED OR IN ACCORDANCE WITH NH DEP'T OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION, LATEST EDITION. IN CASE OF CONFLICTS, THE MOST STRINGENT INTERPRETATION OF THE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS, LOCAL OR STATE REGULATIONS, OR PERMIT 6.
- CONDITIONS SHALL APPLY. THE ENGINEER SHALL BE THE DETERMINANT AS TO WHAT APPLIES. ALL KNOWN SUBSURFACE UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES HAVE BEEN INDICATED ON THE PLAN(S) AS ACCURATELY AS POSSIBLE. THE EXACT
- LOCATION MAY VARY AND THE CONTRACTOR IS CAUTIONED TO PROCEED WITH CARE. 8 CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL BENCH MARKS, INVERTS, PIPES AND STRUCTURES ELEVATIONS PRIOR TO START OF WORK. IMMEDIATELY
- NOTIFY SVE ASSOCIATES IF THE FIELD INFORMATION DOES NOT MATCH PLAN INFORMATION. THE OWNER WILL PROVIDE BENCH MARKS. THE CONTRACTOR WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL OTHER LAYOUT AND FOR REPLACEMENT OF 9. LAYOUT COMPLETED BY THE OWNER.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A FULL SET OF AS-BUILT DRAWINGS TO THE OWNER WITH SWING TIES OR COORDINATES, LOCATING ALL 10. VALVES, FITTINGS, CORPORATIONS, STRUCTURES, PIPES, ETC. THE AS-BUILTS SHALL INDICATE MATERIALS, PIPE LENGTHS INSTALLED, ALL INVERTS, AND ALL STRUCTURE ELEVATIONS. ACCEPTANCE OF THE WORK IS SUBJECT TO ACCEPTANCE OF THE AS-BUILTS BY THE ENGINEER AND OWNER.
- MONUMENTATION THAT HAS BEEN DISTURBED SHALL BE RESET BY A NEW HAMPSHIRE LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR AT NO COST TO THE 11. OWNER.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL DE-WATERING AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OWNER.
- ALL SURFACES SHALL BE GRADED TO DRAIN. 1.3
- ALL TREES WHOSE ROOTS HAVE BEEN DAMAGED SHALL BE REMOVED AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE. 14. 15. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL RESTORE ALL DISTURBED SURFACES TO THEIR ORIGINAL CONDITION OR BETTER. ALL NEW AND EXISTING PIPES AND STRUCTURES SHALL BE CLEANED. ALL SIGNS SHALL BE REPLACED. ALL DAMAGED VEGETATION SHALL BE REPLACED.

SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL

- INSTALL ALL SEDIMENT & EROSION CONTROL MEASURES IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S DIRECTION OR DETAILS PROVIDED. PERIMETER CONTROLS MUST BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO EARTH MOVING OPERATIONS.
- THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL EROSION CONTROL. HE SHALL TAKE ALL MEASURES NEEDED TO MINIMIZE EROSION TO THE GREATEST EXTENT POSSIBLE, AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OWNER, REGARDLESS OF DETAIL SHOWN ON THESE PLANS.
- 3. CONTRACTOR SHALL INSPECT AND REPAIR ALL SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES DAILY WHILE UNDER CONSTRUCTION, THEN AFTER EACH RAINFALL OF 0.5" IN 24 HOURS AND NOT LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK THEREAFTER UNTIL ALL UPHILL SOILS ARE WELL STABILIZED.
- 4. CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN INSPECTION LOGS ON SITE AS REQUIRED BY THE EPA STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN. INSPECTION LOGS SHALL BE AVAILABLE FOR VIEWING BY THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES UPON REQUEST.
- 5. SEED, FERTILIZE & MULCH ALL FINISH GRADED AREAS WITHIN 72 HOURS OF FINISH GRADING. ROADWAY STABILIZED W/IN 72 HOURS OF ACHIEVING FINISH GRADE
- 6. SEDIMENT CONTROLS AND/OR SILT FENCES SHALL BE REPLACED WHEN CLOGGED AND NO LONGER FUNCTIONAL.
- 7. SEDIMENT CONTROLS AND/OR SILT FENCES SHALL REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL ALL UPHILL VEGETATED AREAS ARE STABILIZED.
- 8. ALL SOIL STOCKPILES SHALL BE SEEDED AND MULCHED IF LEFT IN PLACE MORE THAN 21 DAYS.
- 9. SEEDING OF ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE COMPLETED NOT LATER THAN OCTOBER 15TH.
- 10. STABILIZATION OF ALL WORK AREAS SHALL BE COMPLETED NOT MORE THAN 45 DAYS FOLLOWING THE START OF WORK.
- 11. ALL SOIL SLOPES STEEPER THAN 3:1 SHALL BE COVERED WITH EROSION CONTROL FABRIC, S150 FROM NORTH AMERICAN GREEN OR APPROVED FOUAL
- 12. STABILIZE ALL DRAINAGE SWALES, BASINS, BERMS, AND DITCHES PRIOR TO DIRECTING RUNOFF TO THEM
- 13. CONTRACTOR SHALL IMMEDIATELY REPAIR OR REPLACE SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROLS AS REQUESTED BY THE ENGINEER.
- 14. LIMIT THE AREA OF DISTURBANCE TO SMALLEST PRACTICAL AREA, BUT IN NO CASE MORE THAN 5 ACRES AT ANY ONE TIME.
- 15. LOT CONSTRUCTION SHALL NOT COMMENCE UNTIL AFTER THE ASSOCIATED ROADWAY AND DRAINAGE IS COMPLETE AND STABLE.

WINTER CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS:

- 1. ALL PROPOSED VEGETATED AREAS THAT DO NOT EXHIBIT A MINIMUM OF 85% VEGETATIVE GROWTH BY OCTOBER 15TH, OR WHICH ARE DISTURBED AFTER OCTOBER 15TH, SHALL BE STABILIZED BY SEEDING AND 1) INSTALLING EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS ON SLOPES GREATER THAN 3:1, or 2) PLACING 3 TO 4 TONS OF MULCH PER ACRE, SECURED WITH ANCHORED NETTING. THE INSTALLATION OF EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS AND NETTING SHALL NOT OCCUR OVER ACCUMULATED SNOW OR ON FROZEN GROUND AND SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ADVANCE OF SPRING THAW OR SPRING MELT EVENTS.
- ALL DITCHES OR SWALES WHICH DO NOT EXHIBIT A MINIMUM OF 85% VEGETATIVE GROWTH BY OCTOBER 15TH, OR WHICH ARE DISTURBED AFTER OCTOBER 15TH, SHALL BE STABILIZED TEMPORARILY WITH STONE OR EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS APPROPRIATE FOR THE DESIGN FLOW CONDITIONS.
- 3. AFTER OCTOBER 15th, INCOMPLETE ROAD OR PARKING SURFACES WHERE WORK HAS STOPPED FOR THE WINTER SEASON SHALL BE PROTECTED WITH A MINIMUM OF 3 INCHES OF CRUSHED GRAVEL MEETING NHDOT ITEM 304.3 SPECIFICATIONS.

PROJECT SPECIFIC NOTES:

- 1. ALL STORM DRAIN TO BE HIGH DENSITY SMOOTH BORE POLYETHYLENE, HANCOR OR APPROVED FOULL, U.N.O.
- 2. ALL AREAS TO BE VEGETATED SHALL RECEIVE A MINIMUM OF 6" OF LOAM, SEED AND MULCH. IF PLANS OR SPECIFICATIONS HAVE CONFLICTING DEPTHS OF LOAM, 6" OF LOAM SHALL BE THE PREVAILING DEPTH USED.
- SEEDING OF ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE COMPLETED NOT LATER THAN OCTOBER 15Th
- . SEEDING OF ALL FINISHED AREAS SHALL BE COMPLETED NOT MORE THAN 72 HOURS AFTER FINISH GRADING. . STABILIZATION OF ALL WORK AREAS SHALL BE COMPLETED NOT MORE THAN 45 DAYS FOLLOWING THE START OF WORK.
- BROOM, WASH AND APPLY TACK COAT TO BASE PAVEMENT PRIOR TO WEAR COURSE PLACEMENT.
- ALL NEW EXTERIOR LIGHTS SHALL BE SHIELDED TO PROTECT AGAINST ADDED LIGHT POLLUTION.
- 8. STABILIZE ALL DRAINAGE SWALES PRIOR TO DIRECTING RUNOFF TO THEM.
- 9. PER RSA 155E: 2 IF THE EXCAVATION VOLUME EXCEEDS 1,000 CUBIC YARDS, CONTRACTOR SHALL FILE "NOTICE OF INTENT TO EXCAVATE" WITH LOCAL AUTHORITY & PAY TAXES AS NEEDED.
- 10. PER RSA 79:10 IF TREE CUTTING EXCEEDS 10,000 BOARD FEET OR OVER 20 CORDS OF FUEL WOOD, CONTRACTOR SHALL FILE "NOTICE OF INTENT TO CUT WOOD OR TIMBER" WITH LOCAL AUTHORITY & PAY TAXES AS NEEDED.

SEQUENCE OF WORK

THE SEQUENCE OF WORK SHALL BE FOLLOWED WITHIN EACH PHASE OF THE PROJECT. AT NO TIME OR PLACE SHALL PROJECT PHASING SUPERCEDE SOUND SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL PLANNING.

- 1. INSTALL SILT FENCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S DIRECTIONS,
- IN LOCATIONS DETAILED ON THIS PLAN OR AS ORDERED BY THE ENGINEER. 2. CONSTRUCT THE STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE TO PREVENT TRACKING OF SEDIMENT OFFSITE.
- CONSTRUCT AND STABILIZE THE DETENTION CONTROLS AND ALL INLET/OUTLET SWALES.
- 4. CLEAR AND GRUB THE ROAD CORRIDOR.
- 5. INSTALL SEWER MAIN.
- 6. CONSTRUCT ROAD IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPROVED PLANS.
- 7. LOAM AND SEED DISTURBED AREAS, STABILIZE SLOPES WITH MATTING WHERE SPECIFIED.
- 8. REMOVE SILT FENCE AFTER ALL UPHILL SOILS ARE STABILIZED.

PERMITS REQUIRED:

1. CITY OF KEENE, SITE PLAN REVIEW 2. NHDES SEWER CONNECTION NHDES WETLAND 4 FPA STORMWATER POLITION PREVENTION PLAN

STABILIZATION DEFINITION:

AN AREA SHALL BE CONSIDERED STABLE IF ONE OF THE FOLLOWING HAS OCCURRED BASE COURSE GRAVELS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED IN AREAS TO BE PAVED;
 A MINIMUM OF 85% VECETATED GROWTH HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED;
 A MINIMUM OF 3" OF NO-EROSIVE MATERIAL SUCH STONE OR RIPRAP HAS BEEN INSTALLED;
 4. EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS HAVE BEEN PROPERLY INSTALLED.

SEED SPECIFICATIONS

TEMPORARY SEED PERENNIAL RYE GRASS

PERMANENT SEED:

ALL MOWABLE AREAS: PARK SEED NHDOT TYPE 15 (CONSERVATION MIX ACCEPTABLE, AS APPROVED BY ENGINEER)

CREEPING RED FESCUE	40 LB/AC
PERENNIAL RYEGRASS	50 LB/AC
KENTUCKY BLUEGRASS	25 LB/AC
REDTOP	<u>5 LB/AC</u>
TOTAL:	120 LB/AC

ALL SLOPES 5:1 OR STEEPER: SLOPE SEED NHDOT TYPE 45 (OR OTHER WILDFLOWER MIX APPROVED BY ENGINEER)

CREEPING RED FESCUE PERENNIAL RYEGRASS REDTOP ALSIKE CLOVER LANCE-LEAVED COREOPSIS OXEYE DAISY BUTTERFLY WEED BLACKEYED SUSAN WLD LUPINE	35 LB/AC 30 LB/AC 5 LB/AC 5 LB/AC 3 LB/AC 3 LB/AC 3 LB/AC <u>3 LB/AC</u>
DTAL:	95 LB/AC

PROPERTY OWNER & APPLICANT:

SANDRA R. HENRY TRUST P.O. BOX 9 KEENE, NH 0343



5-	HYDRANT

- EXISTING CATCH BASIN m
- PROPOSED CATCH BASIN CULVERT END SECTION
- SEWER MANHOLE
- ര GATE VALVE
- DRAIN MANHOLE
- HANDICAP PARKING
- ö LIGHT POLE
- SEWER LINE ____s_
- w- WATER LINE
- STORM DRAIN LINE
- - 100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN BOUNDARY
- ---- SIGN
- UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE U.N.O.
- N.I.C. NOT IN CONTRACT TBR TO BE REMOVED
- OR APPROVED FOLIAL O.A.E.
- STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE S.C.E.
- INTEGRAL CONCRETE CURB I.C.C.
- VERTICAL CONCRETE CURB V.C.C.
 - VERTICAL GRANITE CURB
- T.D. TIP-DOWN

V.G.C.





NOT TO SCALE

新新学 手条 带 新雅



BASIN HIGH BANK EL. 550.0'

TAX MAP #:	237-018-000-000, 541,043 SQ. FT. ± 12.42 ACF
ZONE:	LOW DENSITY 1 SURFACE WATER PROTECTION O HILLSIDE PROTECTION OVERLAY
LOT SIZE:	<u>REQUIRED:</u> 16,000 SF FOR CRD IN LOW DE
FRONTAGE:	100'/60' CUL-DE-SAC LOW D 40' CRD IN LOW DENSITY 1 W/
LOT WIDTH:	75 FEET
BLDG. HEIGHT:	<u>ALLOWED:</u> 35 FEET/2 STORIES
BUILDING SETBACKS:	
FRONT:	15'
REAR:	20'
SIDES:	10'

SITE DATA TABLE

LOT COVERAGE:	MAXIMUM:
BUILDINGS:	30%
PAVEMENT:	35%
TOTAL IMPERMEABLE:	35%



ACRES

OW DENSITY 1 W/O CITY WATER

OW DENSITY 1 1 W/O CITY WATER









Plan References

REFERENCES INCLUDE ALL INFORMATION REFERRED TO ON ANY OF THE FOLLOWING PLANS

- PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION PLAN OF LAND, SANDRA R. HENRY TRUST, TAX MAP 237-LOT 18, 19 WHITCOMBS MILL ROAD, KEENE, NH, DATED MAY 29, 202; BY CHRISTOPHER PATON, PATON LAND SURVEYING (Obtained from Client and used with permission of Surveyor)
- SUBDIVISION PLAN OF LAND OWNED BY WESTON & NANCY GRISWOLD, WHITCOMBS MILL ROAD, KEENE, NH DATED APRIL 18, 1987, BY WAYNE McCUTCHEON ASSOC. (CCRD)
- 3. RIGHT OF WAY AND TRACK MAP, FITCHBURG R.R. CO., OPERATED BY THE BOSTON & MAINE R.R., VALUATION SHEET V 39 NH/35.

Notes

- THE BEARINGS SHOWN ON THIS PLAN IS/ARE REFERENCED TO NAD83 NH STATE PLANE GRID, BASED THE DATUM STATED ON PLAN REFERENCE No.1
- THE PERIMETER BOUNDARY LINES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE SHOWN FROM PLAN REFERENCE No.1. INTERIOR LINES WERE CALCULATED BY THIS OFFICE.
- UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, STRUCTURES AND FACILITIES HAVE BEEN PLOTTED FROM PLAN REFERENCE No.1. THEIR EXISTENCE AND LOCATIONS MUST BE CONSIDERED APPROXIMATE. THERE MAY BE OTHER UNDERGROUND UTILITIES THE EXISTENCE OF WHICH ARE NOT KNOWN. THE SIZE AND LOCATION OF ALL UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES MUST BE VERIFIED PRIOR TO ANY AND ALL CONSTRUCTION. CALL DIG-SAFE PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION
- JURISDICTIONAL WETLANDS WERE DELINEATED BY CHRIS SPAULDING OF ECO ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS, LLC DURING THE MONTH OF APRIL, 2021 USING THE THREE PARAMETER APPROACH DESCRIBED IN TECHNICAL MANUAL Y48-1, THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS 1987 WETLAND DELINEATION MANUAL AND SUPPLEMENTED BY THE JANUARY 2012, REGIONAL SUPPLEMENT TO THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS WETLAND DELINEATION MANUAL: NORTHCENTRAL AND NORTHEAST REGION U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, V.2.
- PORTIONS THE PARCEL(S) SHOWN ARE LOCATED IN ZONE A AND ARE WITHIN A SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREA 5. PER FEMA PANEL 33005C0262E EFFECTIVELY DATED MAY 23, 2006.
 - THE SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS OF THE CITY OF KEENE ARE A PART OF THIS PLAT AND APPROVAL OF THIS PLAT IS CONTINGENT ON COMPLETION OF ALL THE REQUIREMENTS OF SAID SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS EXCEPTING ONLY ANY VARIANCES OR MODIFICATIONS MADE IN WRITING BY THE BOARD AND ATTACHED HERETO.





Plan of CRD Subdivision

LAND OF Sandra R. Henry Trust located at

Tax Map 237 Lot 18 19 Whitcombs Mill Road, Keene, Cheshire County, New Hampshire Book 2060, Page 599

Scale 1"= 50'

Surveyed See Note 1 Plan prepared 03/24/2023 Project No. H23-007 CAD file No. H23-007 CRD.dwg

Huntley Survey & Design, PLLC

NH & VT Land Surveying, Wetlands & NH Septic System Design 659 West Road, Temple, NH 03084 (603) 924-1669 www.huntleysurvey.com











Plan References

REFERENCES INCLUDE ALL INFORMATION REFERRED TO ON ANY OF THE FOLLOWING PLANS

- PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION PLAN OF LAND, SANDRA R. HENRY TRUST, TAX MAP 237-LOT 18, 19 WHITCOMBS MILL ROAD, KEENE, NH, DATED MAY 29, 202; BY CHRISTOPHER PATON, PATON LAND SURVEYING (Obtained from Client and used with permission of Surveyor)
- SUBDIVISION PLAN OF LAND OWNED BY WESTON & NANCY GRISWOLD, WHITCOMBS MILL ROAD, KEENE, NH, DATED APRIL 18, 1987, BY WAYNE McCUTCHEON ASSOC. (CCRD)
- 3. RIGHT OF WAY AND TRACK MAP, FITCHBURG R.R. CO., OPERATED BY THE BOSTON & MAINE R.R., VALUATION SHEET V 39 NH/35.

Notes

- THE BEARINGS SHOWN ON THIS PLAN IS/ARE REFERENCED TO NAD83 NH STATE PLANE GRID, BASED THE DATUM STATED ON PLAN REFERENCE No.1.
- THE PERIMETER BOUNDARY LINES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE SHOWN FROM PLAN REFERENCE No.1. INTERIOR LINES WERE CALCULATED BY THIS OFFICE.
- TOPOGRAPHY SHOWN ON THIS PLAN IS FROM LIDAR SURVEY AND MAPPING OBTAINED FROM http://lidar.unh.edu/map.) THE VERTICAL DATUM IS NAVD 88. CONTOUR INTERVAL IS TWO (2) FEET 3.
- UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, STRUCTURES AND FACILITIES HAVE BEEN PLOTTED FROM PLAN REFERENCE No.1. THEIR EXISTENCE AND LOCATIONS MUST BE CONSIDERED APPROXIMATE. THERE MAY BE OTHER UNDERGROUND UTILITIES THE EXISTENCE OF WHICH ARE NOT KNOWN. THE SIZE AND LOCATION OF ALL UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES MUST BE VERIFIED PRIOR TO ANY AND ALL CONSTRUCTION. CALL DIG-SAFE PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION.
- JURISDICTIONAL WETLANDS WERE DELINEATED BY CHRIS SPAULDING OF ECO ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS, LLC DURING THE MONTH OF APRIL, 2021 USING THE THREE PARAMETER APPROACH DESCRIBED IN TECHNICAL MANUAL Y-87-1, THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS 1987 WETLAND DELINEATION MANUAL AND SUPPLEMENTED BY THE JANUARY 2012, REGIONAL SUPPLEMENT TO THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS WETLAND DELINEATION MANUAL: NORTHCENTRAL AND NORTHEAST REGION U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, V.2.
 - PORTIONS THE PARCEL(S) SHOWN ARE LOCATED IN ZONE A AND ARE WITHIN A SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREA 6. PER FEMA PANEL 33005C0262E EFFECTIVELY DATED MAY 23, 2006.
 - SOILS LINES AND TYPES SHOWN HEREON WERE OBTAINED FROM NRCS WEB SOIL SURVEY ONLINE PROGRAM, SOIL SURVEY STAFF, NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, WEB SOIL SURVEY, AVAILABLE ONLINE AT HTTPS://WEBSOILSURVEY.SC.EGOV.USDA.GOV/. ACCESSED MARCH 24, 2023.
 - THE SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS OF THE CITY OF KEENE ARE A PART OF THIS PLAT AND APPROVAL OF THIS PLAT IS CONTINGENT ON COMPLETION OF ALL THE REQUIREMENTS OF SAID SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS EXCEPTING ONLY ANY VARIANCES OR MODIFICATIONS MADE IN WRITING BY THE BOARD AND ATTACHED HERETO.

	APPROVED BY THE KEENE PLANNING BOARD
BY	CHAIRMAN
AND	SECRETARY
ON	

Supplemental Soils and Topography Plan Plan of CRD Subdivision

LAND OF Sandra R. Henry Trust

located at Tax Map 237 Lot 18

19 Whitcombs Mill Road, Keene, Cheshire County, New Hampshire Book 2060, Page 599

Scale 1"= 50'

Surveyed See Note 1 Project No. H23-007 Project No. H23-007 Plan prepared 03/24/2023 Cad File No. H23-007 CRD.dwg

Huntley Survey & Design, PLLC

NH & VT Land Surveying, Wetlands & NH Septic System Design 659 West Road, Temple, NH 03084 (603) 924-1669 www.huntleysurvey.com




























Transportation: Engineering • Planning • Design

MEMORANDUM

Ref: 2247A

To: James Phippard Brickstone Land Use Consultants, LLC

From: Stephen G. Pernaw, P.E., PTOE

Subject: Proposed Conservation Residential Development Keene, New Hampshire

Date: February 13, 2023

As requested, Pernaw & Company, Inc. has conducted this "trip generation analysis" for the proposed Conservation Residential Development (CRD) project that will be located on the west side of Whitcomb's Mill Road in Keene, New Hampshire. The subject site is located directly across from the Langdon Place of Keene driveway (see Figure 1). Available traffic count data was also researched at the NHDOT. The purpose of this memorandum is to summarize the available count data and the trip generation analyses for the subject site. To summarize:

<u>Proposed Development</u> – The development proposal involves the construction of eight singlefamily dwelling units and two duplexes, for a total of 12 dwelling units (see Attachment 1). Vehicular access to/from eleven dwelling units will be provided via a new full-access private site access road that will intersect the west side of Whitcomb's Mill Road directly across from the Langdon Place of Keene driveway. One dwelling unit will have driveway access via Whitcomb's Mill Road. The private access road for the proposed units will be maintained by the Home Owners Association. Whitcomb's Mill Road is a relatively narrow local road, and there is a one-lane bridge where its crosses over White Brook. The Whitcomb Mill Road approaches to NH9 and Arch Street operate under stop sign control.

<u>Existing Traffic Volumes</u> – Research at the NHDOT revealed that a short-term NHDOT traffic count was conducted on Whitcomb's Mill Road approximately 550-feet to the north of the proposed private road in August 2021. This section of the Whitcomb's Mill Road carried an estimated Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of approximately 806 vehicles per day (vpd) in 2021, up slightly from 784 vpd in 2019. As an aside, the 2020 AADT (681 vpd) clearly shows the effects of the ongoing pandemic (see Attachment 2).

The 2021 hourly traffic volume data revealed that weekday volumes on Whitcomb's Mill Road typically reached peak levels from 7:00 to 8:00 AM and from 5:00 to 6:00 PM (see Attachment 3). The diagrams on Page 3 summarize the daily and hourly variations in traffic demand on this section of the street. The historical count data summarized on Page 4 shows that the AADT traffic volumes in 2021 are significantly lower than occurred in 2015 (approximately half).



Pernaw & Company, Inc.



= AUTOMATIC TRAFFIC RECORDER LOCATION (NHDOT)

Site Location

NORTH



2247A

Traffic Evaluation, Proposed Conservation Residential Development, Keene, New Hampshire

Drainage Summary

for

Whitcomb's Mill Estates 19 Whitcombs Mill Road, Keene, NH

Prepared by SVE Associates April 11, 2023

A comparison of peak stormwater runoff for the 25-year rainfall events in the post-development conditions was completed by SVE Associates using HydroCad 10.0 software. The storm event used in the model was Type III, 24-hour storm with the following rainfall depths for Keene, NH:

25 Year Event: 4.88 inches

OVERVIEW:

This project will consist of developing the 19 Whitcombs Mill Road property, constructing a 20' wide, 900' long road, with cul-de-sac, constructing an extension of the City sewer main from the existing sewer manhole behind Langdon Place to the proposed cul-de-sac. Each lot will have its own individual drilled well for potable water.

EXISTING CONDITIONS:

The existing condition of this property is a former gravel pit, with an existing gravel access road and two existing culverts. There is a wetland complex, approximately 1.9 acres in size that drains to White Brook. Currently stormwater runoff sheet flows generally from the south to the north, and the two wetlands on the south side of the existing gravel access road, flow through the existing 12" and 36" diameter culverts to the wetland on the north side of the access road, and eventually flow into White Brook.

PROPOSED CONDITIONS:

The proposed conditions, modeled in the "Post-Development" drainage model, consist of the proposed 20' wide road with cul-de-sac. Once developed, most of the stormwater runoff will sheet flow off the proposed access road and infiltrate into the existing ground. The cul-de-sac will be curbed and sheet flow to the proposed stormwater detention basin, and infiltrate into the ground. Vegetated roadside buffers will be maintained within the right-of-way to treat the stormwater runoff.

SVE Associates

	25	year
	Existing	Proposed
	Runoff (cfs)	Runoff (cfs)
Summary Node 100R	0.38	0.94

CONCLUSION:

There will be no adverse impact to downstream abutters due to stormwater runoff from the proposed road. Overall, there is no significant change in stormwater runoff post development, the total runoff is less than 1 cfs from the site in the 25 year storm event.



SVE Associates

Extreme Precipitation Tables

Northeast Regional Climate Center

Data represents point estimates calculated from partial duration series. All precipitation amounts are displayed in inches.

	Metadata for Point									
Smoothing State	Yes									
Location										
Latitude	42.934 degrees North									
Longitude	72.333 degrees West									
Date/Time	Mon Apr 10 2023 14:27:37 GMT-0400 (Eastern Daylight									
	Time)									

Extreme Precipitation Estimates

	5min	10min	15min	30min	60min	120min		1hr	2hr	3hr	6hr	12hr	24hr	48hr		1day	2day	4day	7day	10day	
1yr	0.28	0.43	0.53	0.70	0.87	1.08	1yr	0.75	1.01	1.25	1.54	1.91	2.35	2.61	1yr	2.08	2.51	2.88	3.52	4.09	1yr
2yr	0.34	0.52	0.65	0.85	1.07	1.33	2yr	0.92	1.20	1.53	1.87	2.27	2.76	3.11	2yr	2.44	2.99	3.49	4.16	4.76	2yr
5yr	0.40	0.63	0.78	1.05	1.34	1.68	5yr	1.16	1.51	1.93	2.35	2.83	3.39	3.87	5yr	3.00	3.72	4.32	5.10	5.81	5yr
10yr	0.45	0.71	0.90	1.23	1.59	2.01	10yr	1.37	1.79	2.30	2.79	3.34	3.96	4.57	10yr	3.51	4.39	5.08	5.96	6.77	10yr
25yr	0.54	0.86	1.09	1.51	2.00	2.53	25yr	1.72	2.25	2.90	3.51	4.17	4.88	5.69	25yr	4.32	5.47	6.31	7.32	8.28	25yr
50yr	0.61	0.98	1.26	1.76	2.37	3.02	50yr	2.05	2.68	3.47	4.18	4.92	5.72	6.73	50yr	5.06	6.47	7.42	8.55	9.64	50yr
100yr	0.70	1.14	1.47	2.07	2.82	3.60	100yr	2.44	3.18	4.13	4.96	5.81	6.70	7.96	100yr	5.93	7.66	8.74	10.00	11.24	100yr
200yr	0.80	1.31	1.69	2.43	3.35	4.29	200yr	2.89	3.79	4.93	5.89	6.86	7.86	9.43	200yr	6.96	9.07	10.30	11.69	13.10	200yr
500yr	0.97	1.59	2.07	3.01	4.22	5.41	500yr	3.64	4.77	6.21	7.38	8.55	9.71	11.80	500yr	8.59	11.35	12.81	14.39	16.06	500yr

Lower Confidence Limits

	5min	10min	15min	30min	60min	120min		1hr	2hr	3hr	6hr	12hr	24hr	48hr		1day	2day	4day	7day	10day	
1yr	0.22	0.34	0.41	0.56	0.68	0.90	1yr	0.59	0.88	0.92	1.20	1.51	2.14	2.48	1yr	1.89	2.38	2.73	3.38	3.87	1yr
2yr	0.33	0.50	0.62	0.84	1.03	1.18	2yr	0.89	1.16	1.34	1.70	2.15	2.70	3.06	2yr	2.39	2.94	3.43	4.08	4.67	2yr
5yr	0.37	0.57	0.71	0.97	1.24	1.40	5yr	1.07	1.37	1.57	1.99	2.50	3.24	3.69	5yr	2.87	3.55	4.12	4.89	5.54	5yr
10yr	0.41	0.63	0.78	1.09	1.41	1.59	10yr	1.21	1.55	1.77	2.22	2.78	3.70	4.27	10yr	3.27	4.10	4.74	5.61	6.31	10yr
25yr	0.47	0.71	0.89	1.27	1.66	1.87	25yr	1.44	1.83	2.08	2.56	3.21	4.44	5.15	25yr	3.93	4.95	5.70	6.72	7.47	25yr
50yr	0.52	0.78	0.98	1.41	1.89	2.12	50yr	1.63	2.07	2.34	2.85	3.57	5.10	5.94	50yr	4.51	5.71	6.58	7.73	8.51	50yr
100yr	0.57	0.86	1.08	1.55	2.13	2.38	100yr	1.84	2.33	2.65	3.17	3.97	5.86	6.86	100yr	5.19	6.59	7.61	8.89	9.70	100yr
200yr	0.63	0.95	1.20	1.74	2.42	2.69	200yr	2.09	2.63	2.99	3.52	4.42	6.74	7.93	200yr	5.97	7.63	8.79	10.24	11.06	200yr
500yr	0.73	1.08	1.39	2.02	2.87	3.14	500yr	2.48	3.07	3.51	4.03	5.08	8.13	9.62	500yr	7.20	9.25	10.65	12.38	13.16	500yr

Upper Confidence Limits

	5min	10min	15min	30min	60min	120min		1hr	2hr	3hr	6hr	12hr	24hr	48hr		1day	2day	4day	7day	10day	
1yr	0.31	0.48	0.58	0.78	0.96	1.13	1yr	0.83	1.10	1.25	1.59	1.99	2.48	2.73	1yr	2.19	2.62	3.02	3.67	4.28	1yr
2yr	0.35	0.55	0.67	0.91	1.12	1.29	2yr	0.97	1.26	1.44	1.84	2.29	2.80	3.19	2yr	2.48	3.06	3.58	4.24	4.87	2yr
5yr	0.44	0.67	0.84	1.15	1.46	1.69	5yr	1.26	1.65	1.89	2.34	2.89	3.55	4.06	5yr	3.14	3.91	4.52	5.36	6.09	5yr
10yr	0.52	0.80	0.99	1.39	1.79	2.08	10yr	1.55	2.04	2.31	2.82	3.45	4.23	4.90	10yr	3.74	4.71	5.42	6.38	7.24	10yr
25yr	0.66	1.00	1.24	1.77	2.33	2.77	25yr	2.01	2.71	3.04	3.64	4.38	5.35	6.27	25yr	4.74	6.03	6.89	8.02	9.11	25yr
50yr	0.78	1.19	1.48	2.13	2.87	3.44	50yr	2.47	3.36	3.74	4.43	5.23	6.40	7.56	50yr	5.66	7.27	8.25	9.55	10.83	50yr
100yr	0.94	1.42	1.78	2.57	3.52	4.26	100yr	3.04	4.17	4.61	5.39	6.28	7.65	9.14	100yr	6.77	8.79	9.90	11.37	12.89	100yr
200yr	1.13	1.70	2.15	3.11	4.34	5.30	200yr	3.75	5.18	5.67	6.57	7.52	9.13	11.05	200yr	8.08	10.62	11.87	13.53	15.36	200yr
500yr	1.44	2.14	2.76	4.01	5.70	7.07	500yr	4.92	6.91	7.49	8.54	9.57	11.54	14.17	500yr	10.21	13.62	15.08	17.03	19.36	500yr





Natural Resources **Conservation Service**

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

	MAP LEGEND	MAP INFORMATION
Area of Interest (AO	I) 🗃 Spoil Area Interest (AOI) 👔 Stony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.
Soils Soil Mar Soil Mar Soil Mar Soil Mar Special Point Fea	O Unit Polygons Ø Very Stony Spot O Unit Lines ♥ Wet Spot O Unit Points △ Other tures ● Other	t Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.
Image: Severely Image: Severel	Water Features Streams and C Orit Transportation ot +++ Rails Depression Interstate High Pit W US Routes Spot Major Roads W Background r swamp Maior Roads Quarry Aerial Photogra al Water Interstate High al Water Interstate High itcrop Fotogram pot Interstate High Slip Slip pot Interstate High	analsPlease rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.waysSource of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.phyThis product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.Soil Survey AreaCheshire County, New Hampshire Survey Area Data: Version 26, Sep 9, 2022Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Oct 15, 2020—Oct 31, 2020The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Man Unit Symbol	Man Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
		Acies in Aci	T elcent of Adi
4	Pootatuck fine sandy loam	1.2	1.0%
5	Rippowam fine sandy loam	9.6	8.1%
22A	Colton gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	1.3	1.1%
22B	Colton gravelly sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	15.4	13.0%
22C	Colton gravelly sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	29.0	24.5%
26A	Windsor loamy sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	2.0	1.7%
143C	Monadnock fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes, very stony	23.9	20.2%
169B	Sunapee fine sandy loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes, very stony	0.0	0.0%
298	Pits, gravel	30.8	26.0%
395	Chocorua mucky peat	0.7	0.6%
401	Occum fine sandy loam	4.6	3.9%
Totals for Area of Interest		118.5	100.0%

Cheshire County, New Hampshire

298—Pits, gravel

Map Unit Composition

Pits: 100 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: Cheshire County, New Hampshire Survey Area Data: Version 26, Sep 9, 2022



Cheshire County, New Hampshire

22C—Colton gravelly sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2yjfn Elevation: 10 to 2,000 feet Mean annual precipitation: 31 to 65 inches Mean annual air temperature: 36 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 90 to 160 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Colton and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Colton

Setting

Landform: Outwash terraces Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, base slope Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Sandy-skeletal glaciofluvial deposits

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 7 inches: gravelly sandy loam Bs - 7 to 14 inches: gravelly loamy sand BC - 14 to 24 inches: very gravelly coarse sand C - 24 to 65 inches: extremely gravelly coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 8 to 15 percent Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Drainage class: Excessively drained Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (1.42 to 14.17 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm) Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 2.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: F146XY071ME - Sandy

USDA

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Adams

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Landform: Outwash terraces Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Sheepscot

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Outwash terraces Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Croghan

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Outwash terraces Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: No

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: Cheshire County, New Hampshire Survey Area Data: Version 26, Sep 9, 2022



Cheshire County, New Hampshire

5—Rippowam fine sandy loam

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9d0w Elevation: 200 to 1,380 feet Mean annual precipitation: 44 to 48 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 46 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 150 days Farmland classification: Farmland of local importance

Map Unit Composition

Rippowam and similar soils: 90 percent Minor components: 10 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Rippowam

Setting

Landform: Flood plains Parent material: Sandy and/or coarse-loamy alluvium derived from granite, gneiss or schist

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 9 inches: fine sandy loam

H2 - 9 to 30 inches: fine sandy loam

H3 - 30 to 60 inches: stratified loamy fine sand to very gravelly coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Drainage class: Poorly drained Runoff class: Very low Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 6.00 in/hr) Depth to water table: About 0 to 18 inches Frequency of flooding: NoneFrequent Frequency of ponding: None Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 6.2 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4w Hydrologic Soil Group: A/D Ecological site: F144BY110ME - Broad Floodplain Riparian Complex, F144BY120ME - Small Floodplain Riparian Complex (reserved) Hydric soil rating: Yes

USDA

Minor Components

Pootatuck

Percent of map unit: 4 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Occum

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Saco

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Flood plains Hydric soil rating: Yes

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: Cheshire County, New Hampshire Survey Area Data: Version 26, Sep 9, 2022



National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend

72°20'19"W 42°56'14"N SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE) Zone A. V. A9 With BFE or Depth Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS **Regulatory Floodway** 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile Zone X Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone X Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes. Zone X OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD Area with Flood Risk due to Levee Zone D NO SCREEN Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X Effective LOMRs OTHER AREAS Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard Zone D Zone A - — – – Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer GENERAL STRUCTURES LIIII Levee, Dike, or Floodwall 20.2 Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance 17.5 Water Surface Elevation **CITY OF KEENE Coastal Transect** Mase Flood Elevation Line (BFE) 330023 Limit of Study Jurisdiction Boundary **Coastal Transect Baseline** OTHER **Profile Baseline** 33005C0262E FEATURES Hydrographic Feature eff. 5/23/2006 **Digital Data Available** AREAOFMINIMALFLOODHAZARD No Digital Data Available MAP PANELS Unmapped The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location. This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 4/4/2023 at 12:10 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time. This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for 72°19'42"W 42°55'48"N Feet 1:6.000 regulatory purposes. 500 1,000 1.500

250

2.000

Basemap: USGS National Map: Orthoimagery: Data refreshed October, 2020





Liza P. SARGENT R.C.E. NUMBER: 13365												
Brickstone												
CHK												
Z MA												
DATE												
REVISION												
ÖZ												
© 2023 Support of the second												
PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN WHITCOMB'S MILL ESTATES SANDRA R HENRY TRUST 19 WHITCOMB'S MILL ROAD KEENE NEW HAMDSLIDE												
0 25' 50' 100' GRAPHIC SCALE 1" = 50'												
PROJ. #: K2740 DATE: 12 - APR - 23 SHEET DRAWN: AJG CHECKED: LPS D-1												



Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
0.264	96	Gravel surface, HSG A (1S, 2S, 3S)
0.225	98	Paved roads, HSG A (1S, 3S)
1.821	77	Wetland, HSG D (1S, 2S, 3S)
10.747	30	Woods, Good, HSG A (1S, 2S, 3S)
13.056	39	TOTAL AREA

Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(acres)	Group	Numbers
11.235	HSG A	1S, 2S, 3S
0.000	HSG B	
0.000	HSG C	
1.821	HSG D	1S, 2S, 3S
0.000	Other	
13.056		TOTAL AREA

K2740 PRE Prepared by SVE Associates HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 04481 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

			Ground C	overs (all i	loues)		
 HSG-A (acres)	HSG-B (acres)	HSG-C (acres)	HSG-D (acres)	Other (acres)	Total (acres)	Ground Cover	Subcatchment Numbers
0.264	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.264	Gravel surface	1S, 2S, 3S
0.225	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.225	Paved roads	1S, 3S
0.000	0.000	0.000	1.821	0.000	1.821	Wetland	1S, 2S, 3S
10.747	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	10.747	Woods, Good	1S, 2S, 3S
11.235	0.000	0.000	1.821	0.000	13.056	TOTAL AREA	

Ground Covers (all nodes)

K2740 PRE Prepared by SVE Associates HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 04481 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

546.04

544.30

547.58

546.21

1

2

1P

2P

36.0

12.0

0.0

0.0

	Pipe Listing (all nodes)													
Line#	Node	In-Invert	Out-Invert	Length	Slope	n	Width	Diam/Height	Inside-Fill					
	Number	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(ft/ft)		(inches)	(inches)	(inches)					

42.0

27.0

0.0367

0.0707

0.013

0.013

0.0

0.0

K2740 PRE	Type III 24-hr	25 yr Rair	nfall=4.88"
Prepared by SVE Associates		Printed	4/12/2023
HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 04481 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LI	LC		Page 6
			-

Time span=0.00-48.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 4801 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: SW	Runoff Area=43,930 sf 8.70% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.24" Flow Length=320' Tc=9.9 min CN=41 Runoff=0.06 cfs 0.021 af			
Subcatchment 2S: SW	Runoff Area=85,340 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.07" Flow Length=780' Tc=20.7 min CN=35 Runoff=0.02 cfs 0.011 af			
Subcatchment 3S: N	Runoff Area=439,445 sf 1.36% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.21" Flow Length=970' Tc=23.2 min CN=40 Runoff=0.34 cfs 0.176 af			
Reach 100R: White Brook Sur	nmary NodeInflow=0.38 cfs0.208 afOutflow=0.38 cfs0.208 af			
Pond 1P: 36" Culvert	Peak Elev=547.68' Inflow=0.06 cfs 0.021 af 36.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=42.0' S=0.0367 '/' Outflow=0.06 cfs 0.021 af			
Pond 2P: 12" Culvert	Peak Elev=546.28' Inflow=0.02 cfs 0.011 af 12.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=27.0' S=0.0707 '/' Outflow=0.02 cfs 0.011 af			
Total Runoff Area = 13.056 ac Runoff Volume = 0.208 af Average Runoff Depth = 0.19"				

98.28% Pervious = 12.831 ac 1.72% Impervious = 0.225 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: SW

Runoff = 0.06 cfs @ 12.49 hrs, Volume= 0.021 af, Depth= 0.24"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25 yr Rainfall=4.88"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
*		3,820	98	Paved road	ls, HSG A	
		1,465	96	Gravel surf	ace, HSG A	A Contraction of the second seco
		35,580	30	Woods, Go	od, HSG A	
*		3,065	77	Wetland, H	SG D	
		43,930	41	Weighted A	verage	
40,110 91.30% Pervious Area						
	3,820 8.70% Impervious Area				ervious Area	a
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(n	nin)	(feet)	(ft/ft	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	8.8	70	0.110	0 0.13		Sheet Flow,
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.76"
	1.1	250	0.065	0 3.82		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps
	9.9	320	Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: SW

Runoff = 0.02 cfs @ 15.57 hrs, Volume= 0.011 af, Depth= 0.07"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25 yr Rainfall=4.88"

	A	rea (sf)	CN [Description		
		1,800	96 (Gravel surfa	ace, HSG A	A Contraction of the second seco
		77,710	30 N	Voods, Go	od, HSG A	
*		5,830	77 \	Vetland, H	SG D	
		85,340	35 \	Veighted A	verage	
		85,340	1	00.00% Pe	ervious Are	a
	Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	14.9	100	0.0600	0.11		Sheet Flow,
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.76"
	4.0	400	0.0125	1.68		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps
	0.8	100	0.1900	2.18		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	1.0	180	0.0380	2.92		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps
:	20.7	780	Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 3S: N

Runoff = 0.34 cfs @ 12.81 hrs, Volume= 0.176 af, Depth= 0.21"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25 yr Rainfall=4.88"

_	A	rea (sf)	CN I	Description		
*		5,975	98	Paved road	s, HSG A	
		8,215	96	Gravel surfa	ace, HSG A	N Contraction of the second seco
	3	54,835	30	Woods, Go	od, HSG A	
*		70,420	77	Wetland, H	SG D	
	4	39,445	40	Weighted A	verage	
	433,470 98.64% Pervious Area				rvious Area	
		5,975		1.36% Impe	ervious Area	a
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	10.1	100	0.1600	0.17		Sheet Flow,
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.76"
	13.1	870	0.0490	1.11		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	23.2	970	Total			

Summary for Reach 100R: White Brook Summary Node

Inflow Are	ea =	13.056 ac,	1.72% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 0.1	19" for 25 yr event
Inflow	=	0.38 cfs @	12.77 hrs, Volume	= 0.208 af	
Outflow	=	0.38 cfs @	12.77 hrs, Volume	= 0.208 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

Summary for Pond 1P: 36" Culvert

Inflow Area	ι =	1.008 ac,	8.70% Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	0.24" for	25 yr event
Inflow	=	0.06 cfs @	12.49 hrs, Volume	= 0.021	af	
Outflow	=	0.06 cfs @	12.49 hrs, Volume	= 0.021	af, Atten= 0	0%, Lag= 0.0 min
Primary	=	0.06 cfs @	12.49 hrs, Volume	= 0.021	af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 547.68' @ 12.49 hrs Flood Elev= 552.00'

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	547.58'	36.0" Round Culvert
			L= 42.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900
			Inlet / Outlet Invert= 547.58' / 546.04' S= 0.0367 '/' Cc= 0.900
			n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 7.07 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=0.06 cfs @ 12.49 hrs HW=547.68' (Free Discharge) **1=Culvert** (Inlet Controls 0.06 cfs @ 0.85 fps)

Summary for Pond 2P: 12" Culvert

Inflow Area	a =	1.959 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	0.07"	for 25 yr ev	ent
Inflow	=	0.02 cfs @	15.57 hrs, Volume	= 0.011	af	-	
Outflow	=	0.02 cfs @	15.57 hrs, Volume	= 0.011	af, Atte	n= 0%, Lag=	= 0.0 min
Primary	=	0.02 cfs @	15.57 hrs, Volume	= 0.011	af		
Bouting by Stor-Ind method. Time Span- $0.00-48.00$ hrs. dt- 0.01 hrs.							

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 546.28' @ 15.57 hrs Flood Elev= 548.70'

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	546.21'	12.0" Round Culvert L= 27.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= $546.21' / 544.30'$ S= 0.0707 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=0.02 cfs @ 15.57 hrs HW=546.28' (Free Discharge) ←1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 0.02 cfs @ 0.71 fps)



Liza P. SARGENT R.C.E. NUMBER: 13365							
PLANNER: Brickstone Land Use Consultants, LLC Site Planning, Permitting and Development Consulting 185 Winchester Street, Keene, NH 03431 Phone: (603) 357-0116							
DWN CHK							
DATE							
REVISION							
OZ Image: Second seco							
© 2023 SVE Associates P.O. Box 1818 439 West River Road Brattleboro, VT 05302 T 802.257.0561 F 802.257.0721 WWW.SVEASSOC.com							
POST DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN WHITCOMB'S MILL ESTATES SANDRA R HENRY TRUST 19 WHITCOMB'S MILL ROAD KEENE, NEW HAMPSHIRE							
0 25' 50' 100' GRAPHIC SCALE 1" = 50'							
PROJ. #: K2740 DATE: 12-APR-23 DESIGN: SHEET DRAWN: AJG CHECKED: LPS 2							



Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
0.329	49	50-75% Grass cover, Fair, HSG A (3S, 4S)
0.652	98	Paved roads, HSG A (1S, 2S, 3S, 4S)
1.821	77	Wetland, HSG D (1S, 2S, 3S)
10.255	30	Woods, Good, HSG A (1S, 2S, 3S)
13.056	40	TOTAL AREA

Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(acres)	Group	Numbers
11.235	HSG A	1S, 2S, 3S, 4S
0.000	HSG B	
0.000	HSG C	
1.821	HSG D	1S, 2S, 3S
0.000	Other	
13.056		TOTAL AREA

K2740	POST	
Dueneur		1

10.255

11.235

0.000

0.000

Prepared by SVE Associates	5
HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 04481	© 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

0.000

0.000

0.000

1.821

1S, 2S,

3S

Ground Covers (all hodes)									
HSG-A	HSG-B	HSG-C	HSG-D	Other	Total	Ground	Subcatchment		
 (acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	Cover	Numbers		
0.329	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.329	50-75% Grass cover, Fair	3S, 4S		
0.652	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.652	Paved roads	1S, 2S,		
							3S, 4S		
0.000	0.000	0.000	1.821	0.000	1.821	Wetland	1S, 2S,		
							3S		

0.000

0.000

10.255

13.056

Woods, Good

TOTAL AREA

Ground Covers (all nodes)

K2740 POST Prepared by SVE Associates HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 04481 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

544.30

546.21

2 2P

12.0

0.0

	Pipe Listing (all nodes)									
Line#	Node Number	In-Invert (feet)	Out-Invert (feet)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	n	Width (inches)	Diam/Height (inches)	Inside-Fill (inches)	
1	1P	547.58	546.04	42.0	0.0367	0.013	0.0	36.0	0.0	

27.0 0.0707 0.013

0.0

Time span=0.00-48.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 4801 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: SW	Runoff Area=45,430 sf 10.96% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.24" Flow Length=320' Tc=9.9 min CN=41 Runoff=0.06 cfs 0.021 af
Subcatchment 2S: SW	Runoff Area=201,365 sf 2.65% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.03" Flow Length=510' Tc=15.9 min CN=33 Runoff=0.02 cfs 0.012 af
Subcatchment 3S: N	Runoff Area=305,020 sf 3.94% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.36" Flow Length=450' Tc=17.1 min CN=44 Runoff=0.88 cfs 0.211 af
Subcatchment 4S: CUL-DE-SA	C Runoff Area=16,900 sf 35.89% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.72" Flow Length=90' Tc=7.1 min CN=67 Runoff=0.72 cfs 0.056 af
Reach 100R: White Brook Sun	nmary NodeInflow=0.94 cfs0.245 afOutflow=0.94 cfs0.245 af
Pond 1P: 36" Culvert	Peak Elev=547.68' Inflow=0.06 cfs 0.021 af 36.0" Round Culvert n=0.013 L=42.0' S=0.0367 '/' Outflow=0.06 cfs 0.021 af
Pond 2P: 12" Culvert	$\label{eq:peak-Elev=546.28'} Peak Elev=546.28' \ Inflow=0.02 \ cfs \ 0.012 \ af 12.0'' \ Round \ Culvert \ n=0.013 \ L=27.0' \ S=0.0707 \ '' \ Outflow=0.02 \ cfs \ 0.012 \ af$
Pond 4P: STORMWATER BAS	IN Peak Elev=548.74' Storage=2,422 cf Inflow=0.72 cfs 0.056 af Outflow=0.00 cfs 0.000 af
Total Runoff Ar	ea = 13.056 ac Runoff Volume = 0.300 af Average Runoff Depth = 0.28"

95.01% Pervious = 12.404 ac 4.99% Impervious = 0.652 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: SW

Runoff = 0.06 cfs @ 12.49 hrs, Volume= 0.021 af, Depth= 0.24"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25 yr Rainfall=4.88"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
*		4,980	98	Paved road	s, HSG A	
		37,385	30	Woods, Go	od, HSG A	
*		3,065	77	Wetland, H	SG D	
		45,430	41	Weighted A	verage	
		40,450		89.04% Per	rvious Area	
		4,980		10.96% Imp	pervious Are	ea
	Tc	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	8.8	70	0.110	0.13		Sheet Flow,
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.76"
	1.1	250	0.0650	3.82		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps
	9.9	320	Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: SW

Runoff	=	0.02 cfs @	17.40 hrs,	Volume=	0.012 af, Depth= 0.03"
--------	---	------------	------------	---------	------------------------

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25 yr Rainfall=4.88"

	Ai	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
*		5,335	98	Paved road	s, HSG A	
	1	90,200	30	Woods, Go	od, HSG A	
*		5,830	77	Wetland, H	SG D	
	2	01,365	33	Weighted A	verage	
	196,030 97.35% Pervious Area				rvious Area	
	5,335 2.65% Impervious Area					a
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	11.3	100	0.1200	0.15		Sheet Flow,
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.76"
	4.2	330	0.0670) 1.29		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	0.4	80	0.0470) 3.25		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
_						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps
	15.9	510	Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 3S: N

Runoff = 0.88 cfs @ 12.52 hrs, Volume= 0.211 af, Depth= 0.36"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25 yr Rainfall=4.88"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
*		12,015	98	Paved road	ls, HSG A	
		3,480	49	50-75% Gra	ass cover, F	Fair, HSG A
	2	19,105	30	Woods, Go	od, HSG A	
*		70,420	77	Wetland, H	SG D	
	3	05,020	44	Weighted A	verage	
	2	93,005		96.06% Per	rvious Area	
		12,015		3.94% Impe	ervious Area	a
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	11.3	100	0.1200	0.15		Sheet Flow,
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.76"
	5.8	350	0.0400) 1.00		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
_						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	17.1	450	Total			

Summary for Subcatchment 4S: CUL-DE-SAC

Runoff = 0.72 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.056 af, Depth= 1.72"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25 yr Rainfall=4.88"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
*		6,065	98	Paved road	s, HSG A	
		10,835	49	50-75% Gra	ass cover, F	Fair, HSG A
		16,900	67	Weighted A	verage	
		10,835		64.11% Pe	rvious Area	
		6,065		35.89% Imp	pervious Are	ea
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(m	in)	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
Ę	5.1	40	0.0200	0.13		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.76"
().4	20	0.0200	0.93		Sheet Flow,
						Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 2.76"
-	1.6	30	0.2000	0.31		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.76"
7	7.1	90	Total			

Summary for Reach 100R: White Brook Summary Node

Inflow A	Area =	13.056 ac,	4.99% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 0.2	22" for 25 yr event
Inflow	=	0.94 cfs @	12.52 hrs, Volume	= 0.245 af	-
Outflow	=	0.94 cfs @	12.52 hrs, Volume	= 0.245 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

Summary for Pond 1P: 36" Culvert

Inflow Area	l =	1.043 ac,	10.96% Impe	ervious,	Inflow Depth =	0.24"	for 25	yr event	
Inflow	=	0.06 cfs @	12.49 hrs,	Volume	= 0.021	af			
Outflow	=	0.06 cfs @	12.49 hrs,	Volume	= 0.021	af, Att	en= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 mi	n
Primary	=	0.06 cfs @	12.49 hrs,	Volume	= 0.021	af			

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 547.68' @ 12.49 hrs Flood Elev= 554.75'

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	547.58'	36.0'' Round Culvert L= 42.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= $547.58' / 546.04'$ S= 0.0367 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 7.07 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=0.06 cfs @ 12.49 hrs HW=547.68' (Free Discharge)

Summary for Pond 2P: 12" Culvert

Inflow Area =		4.623 ac,	2.65% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 0	.03" for 25 yr event
Inflow	=	0.02 cfs @	17.40 hrs, Volume	e 0.012 af	
Outflow	=	0.02 cfs @	17.40 hrs, Volume	e 0.012 af	, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min
Primary	=	0.02 cfs @	17.40 hrs, Volume	≔ 0.012 af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 546.28' @ 17.40 hrs Flood Elev= 550.00'

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	546.21'	12.0'' Round Culvert L= 27.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 546.21' / 544.30' S= 0.0707 '/' Cc= 0.900
			n= 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=0.02 cfs @ 17.40 hrs HW=546.28' (Free Discharge)

Summary for Pond 4P: STORMWATER BASIN

Inflow Area	ι =	0.388 ac, 3	5.89% Impervic	ous, Inflow!	Depth =	1.72"	for 25 yr	event
Inflow	=	0.72 cfs @	12.11 hrs, Volu	ume=	0.056 a	af		
Outflow	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volu	ume=	0.000 a	af, Attei	n= 100%,	Lag= 0.0 min
Primary	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volu	ume=	0.000 a	af		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 548.74' @ 24.42 hrs Surf.Area= 3,646 sf Storage= 2,422 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: initial storage exceeds outflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= (not calculated: no outflow)

Volume	Inv	ert Avail.St	orage Stora	ge Description	
#1	548.	00' 7,8	300 cf Custo	om Stage Data (Pris	smatic) Listed below (Recalc)
Elevatio	on et)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	
550.0	00	4,860	7,800	7,800	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devi	ces	
#1	Primary	549.50	10.0' long Head (feet) 2.50 3.00 Coef. (Eng 2.65 2.66	x 6.0' breadth Broa 0.20 0.40 0.60 0 3.50 4.00 4.50 5.0 ish) 2.37 2.51 2.7 2.66 2.67 2.69 2.1	ad-Crested Rectangular Weir 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 00 5.50 70 2.68 2.68 2.67 2.65 2.65 2.65 72 2.76 2.83

Primary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=548.00' (Free Discharge)

Ashuelot River Local Advisory Committee

Washington Lempster Marlow Gilsum Sullivan Surry Keene Swanzey Winchester Hinsdale

April 24, 2023

Keene Conservation Commission 3 Washington Street Keene, NH 03431

RE: Ashuelot River monitoring program

Dear Conservation Commissioners:

Another successful river monitoring season was completed by 18 volunteers May through September this past year. The Ashuelot River, classified as "Class B," meaning that it is considered suitable for fishing, swimming and other recreational uses, continues to maintain its designation for **Dissolved Oxygen**, **Chloride** and **Turbidity**.

Large storm events resulted in elevated E.coli levels found even 48 hours after the rainfall. The characterization of the River differs overall with the upper reaches above Surry Dam having lower, more acidic pH but lower E.coli and Specific Conductance (measures ions in the water). Keene and downstream exhibit slightly better pH levels, but more frequent elevations of E.coli and Specific Conductance. Non-point source pollution along with low pH remain the areas of concern for our river. Total Phosphorus levels remained mostly at acceptable levels with the exception of a slight elevation in Marlow in August, perhaps related to beaver activity, and in Washington in July. Complete data can be viewed under annual reports at https://www.des.nh.gov/water/rivers-and-lakes/river-and-lake-monitoring.

All collected data is used by the NHDES in assessing the status of the river, and in their reporting to the USEPA.

The Ashuelot River Local Advisory Committee thanks you for your past support enabling us to continue our surveillance of E.coli in the River. Your support shows you value the river as an important asset to your community. We plan to continue our full schedule this season, monitoring monthly May through September. With this in mind, ARLAC will appreciate your continued support of \$125 once again assuring that our bacteria monitoring continues and our equipment is maintained.

Our season starts May 23rd and we hope we can count on your support! If you are interested in joining as a volunteer, let me know, we'd love to see you!

Sincerely,

Barbara Skuly

Barbara Skuly, Chairman ⁶ bskuly@ne.rr.com